

1

THE MOON

1. How Moon originated?
2. How Moon has become Satellite of the Earth?
3. How Moon has stopped its spin to keep always the same face towards the Earth?
4. Future of the Moon and the Earth.
5. Why composition of the Moon is mostly similar to the Earth but why it lacks iron?

What the World knows presently about origin of the Moon?

Brief information is at the end of illustration.



Discovered Facts by the Challenger:

Baby-moon was once a following planet of the Baby-earth (but ahead to Baby-mars). After growing bigger, it approached nearer to the developed Earth resulting to derail from the orbit of the Sun to adopt orbit around the developed-Earth by the gravity attraction of the both.

Some pre-explanation understandings before illustration:

- The names given to past and future phases of development of the present Earth, Moon and also to the Venus are as under:

Earth: (i) Past: Object embryo earth–planet; baby planet–earth; child planet–earth; young planet-earth **(ii) Present:** Earth and **(iii) Future:** OLD-EARTH.

Moon: (i) Past: Object embryo moon–planet; baby planet-moon; child satellite–moon; young satellite-moon **(ii) Present:** Moon and **(iii) Future:** OLD-MOON.

Venus: Phases of development are similar to as that of the Earth.

- Brief explanation given below over the above said queries relates to Discovery claims over discovered true working mechanism (Working mechanism : like, spinning, orbiting, repelling and forming a zone to keep all solar family trapped over a flat plane) of solar/planetary system based over discovered/understood unique materialistic properties of the rays and solar-ejects.

- Discovery has been put before the World on 7th April, 2007.
- It is the prejudice mind-set with Science-Concerned, which is delaying understanding of the discovered facts (discovery claims).

● **It is not possible to explain the discovered facts here in detail. So, after every stated facts/illustrations Discoverer/Challenger has used the word, like 'by the Physics laws as per discovery claim facts' and 'explanation is over the relevant chapter etc'.**


Explanation:

1) How Moon Originated?

- In pre-historical period, Sun had around it a thin disc of matter composed of different elements of different densities and sizes from the big sized to the finest dust. (Scientists have confirmed similar phenomenon in the space with some stars).
- Some bigger objects in the disc developed to object embryo planet–earth, object embryo planet-moon and object embryo planet–Venus.
- Said embryos on developing to baby planet–earth and baby planet–moon consumed mass of the disc. They consumed mass of the disc, which was around them by the developed greater gravity and further consumed more mass of the disc ahead of them by marching ahead towards the Sun on growing bigger (by the Physics laws as per discovery facts).
- Following baby planet–moon (in between–the baby planet-earth and baby planet-mars) marched ahead by the addition of mass towards the Sun with greater speed than the baby planet–earth (by the Physics laws as per discovery facts).

2) How Moon (baby planet-moon) became Satellite of the Earth?

- Baby planet-moon on developing to child planet-moon came nearer to the child planet-earth (which too developed to child planet-earth from baby planet-earth). It came so closer that child planet-moon derailed (by the gravity of child-earth) from the orbit of the Sun by adopting new orbit around the child planet-earth and became child satellite-moon (by the Physics laws as per discovery facts).



3) How Moon (child satellite-moon) stopped its spin to keep always the same face towards the Earth?

- Ahead to the child planet-earth towards the Sun was the child planet-venus. All the planets were much away from the Sun, thus child planet-venus too was away from the Sun (by the Physics law as per discovery facts).
- Child planet-venus was not having much gasses shell, like the present Venus, thus it was spinning in the normal direction like the spin direction of the Sun/Earth (by the Physics laws as per discovery facts).
- All the three planets marched ahead towards the Sun by consuming matter of the disc and matter littered by the comets to become young planet-venus, young planet-earth and young satellite-moon.
- On becoming young planet–Venus, it created huge gasses shell by the heat on coming nearer to the Sun. Dense gasses shell resulted to reverse the Spin–direction of the Venus by the materialistic rays of the Sun, which spin the planet (by the Physics laws as per discovery facts).
- By the time Child planet-venus became young planet–venus, the following planet with its satellite too became young planet–earth and young satellite-moon.
- Whenever all the three youngs were aligned together by having small satellite-moon in-between; big young planet–venus by its materialistic rays intended to spin the small young satellite-moon in its own direction (reverse direction), whereas big young planet–earth by its own materialistic rays was spinning the young small satellite-moon in its own direction (by the Physics laws as per discovery facts).

- Small young satellite-moon by getting stuck in between the two big young rival giants, small young satellite-moon was left with no option, except to stop its spin (rotation). Once it stopped its rotation, gravity of the big young planet earth has resulted dense core of the Moon to become eccentric. With the result small young satellite-moon lost opportunities to spin forever (by the Physics laws as per the discovery claim).

NOTE: Formation of the planets after Mars, their great distances from the Sun and fast rotation speed is due to some discovered facts based over the discovered unique materialistic properties of the Rays and solar-ejects (all as per the Physics laws and discovery claim facts).



4) Future of the Moon and the Earth:

- Now all the three (Venus, Earth along with Moon) are marching ahead fraction by fraction towards the Sun by adding mass bit by bit. A moment would come when future OLD-EARTH along with future OLD-MOON would come so close to the Sun that by the blow of solar-ejects OLD-MOON would develop very less perigee distance and greater apogee distance with the OLD-EARTH (by the Physics laws as per the discovery claim).

What the World knows presently about origin of the Moon?

Brief information is at the end of illustration

About origin of the Moon now, the Astronomers (World) say that their 'Giant planet impact theory' is wrong.

- At less perigee distance bigger OLD-EARTH would attract the OLD-MOON to engulf into it and that would be moment of the end of the Moon (OLD-MOON) along with the end of the life and vegetation on the Earth (OLD-EARTH). Chances are there that OLD-MOON may escape to space at developing long apogee distance by the thrust/push of the solar-ejects (by the Physics laws as per the discovery claim). (All above said has been explained under the title: MATERIALISTIC UNIVERSE)

Giant planet impact theory: Most Scientists believed/believe that the Moon was formed from the ejected material after the Earth (Planet existed in place of the Earth) collided with a Mars-sized object. This ejected material coalesced/aggregated into the Moon that went into the orbit around the Earth. This catastrophic collision occurred 60 Million years after Earth itself was formed (about 4.3 billions years ago). This is determined by the radioisotope dating of Moon rocks.

Information taken from the World renowned magazine 'Astronomy' of issue July 2012 has been exhibited below:

5) Why composition of the Moon is mostly similar to the Earth but why it lacks iron?

- Sun formed and got the spin (rotation) by its own materialistic rays and solar ejects (by the Physics laws and as per discovery claim).

From 'Astronomy' magazine July, 2012

New origin story? A study of lunar samples, such as this one brought back on the Apollo 17 mission in 1972, suggests that the current lunar formation hypothesis is wrong.

Lunar formation idea may be wrong

The long-answered question of lunar formation might need revisiting. The scientific consensus is that a nascent Earth collided with a Mars-sized object billions of years ago, creating the Moon as we know it. However, a new analysis of Moon rocks gathered during the Apollo missions of the 1970s shows a majority of our satellite's material came from early Earth, in contradiction with the established hypothesis. Details appeared in a Nature Geo-science paper published online March 25.

"Just like in humans, the Moon would have inherited some of the material from the Earth and some of the material from the impactor, approximately half and half", says co-author Nicolas Dauphas of the University of Chicago in Illinois. "What we found is that the child does not look any different compared to the Earth. It's a child with only one parent, as far as we can tell."

.....Other ideas about lunar formation exist but none is problem-free, leaving the issue an open question for now. "We thought we knew what the Moon was made of and how it formed", says Daupas. "Even 40 years after Apollo there is still a lot of Science to do with those samples."

- Materialistic rays along with solar ejects from spinning Sun have formed a mirror reflection pattern over equator plane of the Sun to keep trapped matter in the form of disc over its equator plane (by the Physics laws as per discovery claim).

- Blow of solar-ejects and materialistic rays over the objects/particles by the great gravity of the Sun have arranged mass of the disc in a pattern; densest-elements and big objects were near the Sun; light density elements and finest particles far away. Medium matter was in between by keeping a gap of no visible matter zone near the Sun by the high blow of solar-ejects (by the Physics laws as per discovery claim facts).

- Arranged pattern as said above of the mass in the disc confirms that planet which is very near to the Sun contains most mass of the densest-elements and all the next following planets (up to Mars only) have mean-density with decreasing rate. Under discovery claim, it has been proved that calculated mean-densities of the planets Mercury and Venus are wrong as compared with practically measured correct mean-density of the Earth. Further, it has been proved that real mean-density of Mercury would be in between 8 to 9 gm/cm³ (may be more) and that of the Venus as 6 to 7 gm/cm³ (may be more) (This all as per Physics laws and discovery claim facts).

- Pattern of density of the disc mass further suggests that a specific part of the disc had iron thus Earth would be having greatest quantity of the iron than the Moon (particularly, during phases of baby-moon to child-moon) and Venus too would be having iron but along with higher density elements in great quantity. Core of the Mercury must be rich with the very high density elements of the Periodic Table and must be containing great quantity of precious metals like; gold, silver and platinum etc. (by the Physics laws as per discovery claim facts).

- Planets do not have the entire mass from the disc as per the said pattern of density. Besides collision of the Comets with the developing planets; Comets have disturbed the said pattern of disc mass and in the past, they were frequent visitors to the Sun. Because of their repeated visits, they were collecting and later littering the objects/particles on the way in the planetary zone. Collection of said littered mass/particles by the planets has reduced their mean-density by adding mass of low density got from the space littered/disturbed by the comets. Further, comets in the past have disturbed orbital path of most of the objects; which in result collided with the developing planets to grow them faster but with the disturbed density pattern of the disc matter (by the Physics Laws and as per discovery claim).

Because of the said facts composition of the Moon is mostly similar to the Earth but it lacks iron.