

Research Paper (PART-A1 in Brief):

SCIENCE TOPPLED

(20130517)

Research Paper: Over real (not calculated) mean-densities of the planets.

Discovery/Concluded Result: Real (not calculated) mean densities of the planets Venus and Mercury are much higher than the real (practically measured correct) mean-density of the Earth.

Note: Till date only two spacecrafts have been sent to explore Mercury and now a third is to fly in the year 2015 to explore density* of the Mercury along with other findings.

*Density: What kind of density is being explored; mean-density/average-density/volume-mass-density/compressed or uncompressed-density? Brief Information about kinds of densities and about these missions is stated ahead over page 5.

The factor, which has raised the question over the authenticity of already calculated mean-densities of the planets:

The factor is thrust/push to the planets and to their atmospheric matter by the blow of solar-ejects (solar-wind, solar radiation rays and other kinds of the rays, cosmic-particles, charged/uncharged particles and molecules of the solar gases etc) which keeps the planet further away than the planet is away from the Sun due to centrifugal force.

Reason of wrong knowledge with the World:

Planetary laws were framed in the 17th century (Newton era) and at that time no one had the idea that the planet faces thrust/push to make its orbit wider by the high blow of solar ejects (solar-wind, radiation rays and other kind of rays, charged/uncharged particles, solar cosmic particles and gases etc). World came to know of this fact in the 20th century. Calculations made to know mean-densities of the planet by the known 17th century laws by ignoring the said discovered fact (push/thrust to the planet to make its orbit wider), have resulted to conclude that calculated mean-densities of the planets are wrong and false.

Source of data information:

Discoverer has never peeped through the telescope. He has obtained the said result by computing data information got from the Internet provided by the Scientists (Astronomers, Astrophysicists, Cosmologists, Physicists.....)

Highlight of the result:

(A) **Planet Mercury:** Real (not calculated) mean density of the planet Mercury has been proved much higher than the real (practically measured correct) mean density of the Earth (and also much higher than real mean density of the Venus) by the reason of its existence very near to the Sun than the Earth against high blow of solar-ejects and even on being much smaller (only 38% diameter) than the Earth as concluded and stated in brief below.

(B) **Planet Venus:** Real (not calculated) mean-density of the planet Venus has been proved much higher than the real (practically measured correct) mean density of the Earth by three reasons; (i) by the reason of its existence nearer to the Sun than the Earth against high blow of solar-ejects and even on being smaller than the Earth as concluded and stated below in brief; (ii) by the reason to hold huge quantum of gasses by the Venus than the Earth against high blow of solar ejects and even on being closer to the Sun as concluded and stated below and (iii) by the reason to hold huge quantum of gases by the Venus than the Earth by having negligible magnetic field than the Earth, which protects most of the atmospheric matter with the planet against high blow of solar-ejects and further Venus holds huge gasses even on being much closer to the Sun at 0.723AU distance as concluded and stated below.

Before any explanation, please read the following information/data provided by the Scientists to know/recharge your memory and to retain its link at appropriate explanation.

Data information provided by the Scientists; taken from the Internet:

(1) Real and calculated mean-densities of the planets: Mean densities of the planets (other than the Earth) have been calculated by the 17th Century laws. Whereas mean-densities of the Earth has been practically measured by knowing practically its mass thus it is correct.

(2) Effect by the Solar-ejects over the planet/gassy shell: The World came to know of the solar-ejects (solar-wind, solar radiation rays and other kinds of the rays, photons, charged/uncharged particles, Cosmic particles and solar-gases etc) in the 20th century, further, Scientists have noticed (discovered) thrust/push affect by the blow of solar ejects over the planets/gassy atmosphere, particularly, which are closer to the Sun as under.

- Solar-wind (solar-ejects) has virtually stripped off atmosphere of the **Mercury**. Solar light pushes the atmospheric gases away from the Sun creating a comet like tail behind the planet.

- High blow of solar-ejects have formed long tails of millions of Kilometers from the atmosphere and stringy things with the Mercury (2.5 Million KM long) and Venus (45 Million KM long). (See under website: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solar_wind).



Tail of Venus: credit Jeff Hecht, NewScientist Magazine May 31, 1997.

Solar-wind forms 45 million km long tail with the planet Venus by the blow of solar-ejects and this has been noticed in the year 1997.

- Mars is larger than Mercury and four times farther away from the Sun, and yet it is thought by the Scientists that solar-wind has stripped away up to a third of its original atmosphere; making the average surface pressure on Mars about 0.6% of Earth's mean sea level pressure. Mars atmosphere is believed to have changed over the course of planet's lifetime. Possible causes for the depletion of a previously thicker Martian atmosphere include: (i) Gradual erosion of the atmosphere by solar wind, possibly helped by Mars magnetic field irregularities. **(ii) Mars low gravity allowing the atmosphere to "blow off" into space by gas-kinetic escape.**

- For most practical purposes, the Moon is considered to be surrounded by vacuum. Loss of atmospheric matter is by the solar-wind and Sun light in a process known as sputtering and solar radiation pressure.

(3) Planets Mercury, Venus, Earth and Mars:

- First planet Mercury:** Diameter 4878 KM; calculated mean-density 5.42 gm/cm³. Calculated mass of Mercury is 1/18 of the Earth.

- Second planet Venus:** Diameter 12104 KM; calculated mean-density 5.25 gm/cm³.

If mass of the Earth, in Earth mass unit is 1.000, then mass of the Venus is 0.815 Earth mass unit. Mass of the Venus by presuming different mean-densities i.e., 5.52, 6, **7** and 8gm/cm³ are 0.855, 0.929, **1.084** and 1.239 Earth mass units respectively. (If Venus has mean-densities 7gm/cm³ then its mass becomes equivalent to that of the Earth).

Venus is of smaller size and also has lower calculated mean-density than the Earth thus calculated mass (or calculated surface gravity) of the Venus is much lower than the Earth. If Venus had mean density 7 gm/cm³ then its mass or surface gravity would have been equivalent to that of the Earth.

- Third planet Earth:** Diameter 12756 KM; practically measured correct mean-density 5.52 gm/cm³.

- Fourth planet Mars:** Diameter 6787 KM; calculated mean-density 3.94 gm/cm³.



Explanation of the discovered facts is over the next page (or PTO).

Explanation of the discovered facts that real (not calculated) mean-densities of the planets Mercury and Venus are greater than the practically measured correct mean-density of the Earth.

1. Discovery claim explanation over real mean-densities of the planets Mercury & Venus on being at nearer locations to the Sun than the Earth because of their small diameters in spite of facing high blow of solar-ejects.

1 (A) Practical experiment similar to actual planetary phenomenon by the different alloy metal balls proportional to diameters of the planets (Mercury, Venus and Earth) with the upward flow of water against gravity factor.

Note: Similar practical can be performed with high speed cyclone blower by the air with some finest dust particles (some what similar to solar-ejects) by using 'air cyclone blower' instead of 'water booster pump'.




Conclusion Query by the above said practical:

Keeping aside the factor of 'Centrifugal force', which also keeps the planet away from the Sun; please give answer that (i) how above said Physics law of thrust/push to metal alloy balls over the said practical on Earth (by the gravity of the Earth) is correct but it defies the Nature in solar system over the Sun (by the gravity of the Sun) by retaining **very small planet** Mercury and also of **lower mean-density** (of mass 0.056 Earth mass units) very near the Sun to face extreme thrust/push (upward as shown over the sketch) by the blow of solar-ejects and also (ii) how blow of solar-ejects keeps away the **big planet** Earth that too of **higher mean density** (of mass 1 Earth unit) than the Mercury against very high gravity pull of the Sun)? **Please explain OR accept the discovery claim.**

Conclusion by the Discoverer/Challenger:

Real mean-densities of the planets Mercury and Venus are much higher than the real mean-density to the planet Earth that is why both the planets (Mercury & Venus) of small diameters are nearer to the Sun than the Earth to face high thrust/push by the blow of solar-ejects.

1-(B) Mathematical comparison of the magnitude of thrust/push by the blow of solar-ejects over the planets (Mercury, Venus and Earth) because of their different diameters by presuming their densities as the same as that of the Earth and also by presuming all the planets over one orbit i.e., at the orbit of the Earth.

SI No.	Description	Mercury	Venus	Earth
(i)-a	Diameter of the planets in kilometers (KM).	4878	12104	12756
(i)-b	Diameter of the planets by considering diameter of Earth as standard unit i.e., one diameter unit.	$4878 \div 12756$ =0.382	$12104 \div 12756$ =0.949	$12756 \div 12756$ =1
(ii)	Beam area <u>OR</u> thrust of the solar-ejects affecting the planets i.e., cross section of the sphere (planet) (πr^2)	$22 \div 7 (0.382 \div 2)^2$ =0.115	$22 \div 7 (0.949 \div 2)^2$ =0.708	$22 \div 7 (1 \div 2)^2$ =0.786
(iii)-a	Volume of the planets by considering diameter of the Earth as standard i.e., one dia unit ($4 \div 3 \pi r^3$).	$(4 \div 3) \times (22 \div 7) \times (0.382 \div 2)^3 = 0.029$	$(4 \div 3) \times (22 \div 7) \times (0.949 \div 2)^3 = 0.448$	$(4 \div 3) \times (22 \div 7) \times (1 \div 2)^3 = 0.524$
(iii)-b	Mass of the planets; if mean density of all the planets are the same as that of the Earth i.e., 5.52gm/cm ³ . Formula= Volume x Mean density	0.029×5.52 =0.160	0.448×5.52 =2.473	0.524×5.52 =2.893
(iv)-a	Conclusion: Ratio of thrust/push magnitude and mass of the planets by the blow of solar-ejects over the planets by presuming mean density of all the planets as same as that of the Earth and also by presuming that if all the planet are placed at the same orbit as that of the Earth. OR	$0.115 \div 0.160$ =0.719	$0.708 \div 2.473$ =0.286	$0.786 \div 2.893$ =0.272
(iv)-b	Ratio of thrust/push magnitude and mass by considering ratio factor over the Earth as standard i.e., one thrust ratio unit.	$0.719 \div 0.272$ =2.64	$0.286 \div 0.272$ =1.052	$0.272 \div 0.272$ =1
(v)	Conclusion: If Earth is facing thrust/push magnitude by the blow of solar-ejects over its actual orbit as <u>one</u> thrust ratio unit; Venus at the presumed orbit of the Earth and with the same density that of the Earth would be facing 1.052 thrust ratio units and Mercury at the presumed orbit of the Earth would be facing by the same principle 2.64 thrust ratio units. Thus Mercury and Venus both at the said location (orbit of the Earth) by greater thrust ratio units (because of their smaller sizes) cannot exist even at the orbit of the Earth but must be further away from the Earth. But whereas calculated mean-densities of the planets (Mercury and Venus) have been calculated even lesser than the presumed mean-densities equivalent to that of the Earth. In such case with the calculated mean-densities; the planets Mercury and Venus would go even further away at wider orbit as said earlier by having density (5.52gm/cm ³) the same as that of the Earth. True (existing) locations of the planets Mercury and Venus are much ahead to the Earth and closer to the Sun. So, real mean-densities of both the planets (Mercury and Venus) are much higher than the Earth.	 <p>Actual orbit of the Earth</p> <p>Actual orbit of the Venus</p> <p>Actual orbit of the Mercury</p> <p>SUN</p> <p>Earth (E) is at its actual orbit, whereas planets Mercury (M) and Venus (V) have been presumed at the orbit of the Earth by having the same densities as that of the Earth.</p>		

Final Conclusion:

Planets are also away from the Sun by their orbital motion (speed). Whatsoever would be the orbital motion speed of the planets Mercury and Venus that can not over rule the said thrust/push factor to bring the planets closer to the Sun than the Earth with their lower mean-densities.

True (existing) locations of the planets Mercury and Venus are much ahead to the Earth and closer to the Sun by facing even greater thrust/push by the blow of solar ejects, so real mean-densities of both the planets (Mercury and Venus) are much higher than the Earth.

2. Discovery claim explanation over real mean-density of the planet Venus on being nearer location to the Sun than the Earth because of holding huge atmospheric matter than the Earth by its small diameter in spite of facing high blow of solar-ejects.

2(i) Some facts understood by the Challenger/ Discoverer:

- Because of the blow of solar-ejects, a planet cannot hold by its whim any quantum of atmospheric-matter of any kind, which it has produced by any process/reason in the past, producing presently and which it would produce in the future. Magnitude to hold atmosphere by a planet depends upon its gravity (i.e., mass) and magnitude of the thrust/push, which it is facing due to the blow of solar-ejects.

- Magnetic field of the planet plays a great role to deflect the charged solar-wind particles resulting to protect most of the atmospheric matter, like atmosphere of the Earth but it has a limit to protect, whereas magnetic field of the Venus is much weaker than the Earth even then it is holding huge quantum of gasses.

Height of upper shell of the gasses (troposphere) over the Venus by facing great thrust with its negligible magnetic field is 100KM, whereas height of upper shell of atmosphere (troposphere) over the Earth is 11KM even by protecting the atmosphere by deflecting charged solar-wind particles by the high magnetic field and that too by facing lower thrust/push by the blow of solar-ejects than the Venus.

Magnitude of the tail and its length (45 million KM long) formed from the atmospheric matter of the Venus by the blow of solar-ejects confirms high magnitude of its surface gravity (or higher mean-density).

- Solar light and Solar-wind (solar-ejects) has caused noticeable thrust/push effect over the atmosphere of Mercury and Mars to blow off most of their atmospheric matter; how it can spare the Venus? Most atmosphere of the Venus too has been stripped off. Even after stripping off most of the atmosphere; Venus still holds huge gasses (atmospheric-matter).

- Scientists have concluded that lower gravity of the Mars has resulted to strip away most of its atmosphere then why huge atmospheric matter with the Venus is not by the high gravity (high mean-density)? It is the extreme gravity (higher mean-density of its solid mass) of the Venus, which is responsible to hold so much atmospheric-matter by facing high blow of solar-ejects.

- **Magnetic field Factor:** Earth has strong magnetic field than the Venus. Earth by deflecting solar-wind charged particles by its magnetic field protects most of its atmosphere. Earth by facing lower magnitude of solar-ejects at distance of 1AU has retained

atmosphere (troposphere) up to a height of 11KMs. But whereas Venus with very low magnetic field and at closer distance of 0.722AU from the Sun; Venus holds troposphere of height 100KM and more than 100 times quantum of the atmosphere. The said fact proves that Venus has much higher real mean-density than the Earth.

2 (ii) Visualized Experiment to reconfirm discovery claim said above under paragraph 2 (i):

Note: Discovery claim is not over the fact that how Venus has produced huge gasses and what kinds of the gasses are. Discovery query is that how Venus holds a huge quantity of gasses with lower surface gravity (lower mean-density) than the Earth and also on being closer to the Sun (than the Earth) despite facing great blow of solar-ejects?

Presume if we take a replica of our planet Earth at the orbit of the Mercury, because of the heat from the Sun more gases would be produced. But blow of solar-ejects would blow off most of the gases resulting to lower the quantum of gases, which it holds presently at the orbit of the Earth. Replica Earth at the orbit of the Venus would have greater quantum of gases than if it is at the orbit of the Mercury but at the orbit of Venus definitely Earth would hold lesser quantum of gases than it (Earth) holds actually at its own orbit.

If any Science Concerned disagrees with the said quantum of gases, which the replica Earth would hold at the orbit of the Venus; he/she should presume himself/herself that what would be the quantum of the gasses at the said orbits? In no case, replica Earth can have greater quantum of gases than the Venus at the orbit of the Venus because Venus holds 100 times dense atmosphere than the Earth, that too by facing a great blow of solar-ejects from the Sun.

Had the Earth has magnetic field almost nil like that of the Venus, then Venus would have held atmosphere of ratio more than 100 times (say 150 times) than the Earth, making a great difference in quantum of atmosphere between the two.

2 (iii) Concluded discovery-result over real mean-density of the Venus:

Calculated different masses of the Venus by the supposed different mean-densities confirm that if Venus had mean-density 7.00gm/cm^3 then its mass thus surface gravity would have been equivalent to that of the Earth; and then it would hold atmosphere just equivalent to that of the Earth that too at the orbit of the Earth. But to hold atmosphere 100 times the Earth even by facing greater blow of solar-ejects on being nearer to the Sun, its mean-density must be much greater than 8 gm/cm^3 .

3. Two more facts over the planets Mercury and Venus confirm that both the planets have greater real mean-densities than the Earth.

Note: Factors stated below under Serial No. 3 are best understandable only after going through the total discovery claim title: MATERIALISTIC UNIVERSE but a Science Concerned who is extremely eager to understand the said facts; he/she can well understand from the below stated flash information.

3-(i) Planets have been formed from the matter of the disk, which once surrounded the Sun (star) prior to formation of the planets:

Astronomers have now come to know that small objects and particles of the matter surround a **young star** in the form of a disk before formation of the planets from the disk-matter but by the prejudice mind-set, every Science Concerned still understands that solar system (Sun and planets) have been formed from a nebula, which split to different nebulas to form different planets.

The true fact is that the planets have been formed from the masses/particles, which once were surrounding the Sun in the form of a disk. Thrust/push by the blow of solar-ejects and pull by the gravity of the Sun have arranged the masses in the disk by the **simple Physics law of thrust/push (against gravity pull) by the solar-ejects over the solid objects/particles as per the ratio of their thrust surface-area (beam cross-section of the solar-ejects) and mass/density** by keeping the densest masses/particles

nearer to the Sun and lighter at far away. These objects of the disk on clubbing together have formed cores of the planets. This phenomenon confirms that the core of the planet Mercury is formed of the densest-mass (denser and densest elements) and density of the core of planet Venus is in between the Mercury and the Earth. By keeping said fact into account, mean-density of the planet Venus is greater than the Earth and Mercury is the densest among the Venus and the Earth.

3-(ii) Slow spin/rotation speed by the planets Mercury and Venus than the Earth. (It is because of very denser mass/elements among the less denser elements in their molten cores, which always faces the Sun in the form of disk to cause friction thus retardation to spin speed of the planet):

Why the planets Mercury and Venus spin very slowly? Answer to the said query/riddle is also a discovery claim as stated and illustrated under the relevant chapter. Flash Information given below may not make you well understand; so, for this fact, please read the explanation. Explanation given under the relevant chapter confirms that Planets Mercury and Venus have much more denser mass/elements in their molten-cores. The said discovered fact confirms that real mean-densities of the planets Mercury and Venus are much greater than the Earth.

Flash information: Factor by the slow spin speed of the planets Mercury & Venus:

Both the planets (Mercury and Venus) spin very slowly. (Mercury completes one spin/rotation in 1416 hours and Venus in 5393 hours, whereas Earth completes one spin/rotation in only 24 hours). The true reason behind very slow rotation/spin by the planets (Mercury and Venus) is the presence of elements even denser than the iron and that too in huge quantities in their molten cores. Both the planets are closer to the Sun; gravity of the Sun pulls denser and densest elements towards it (Sun) from the mixture of different density matter of the molten core. The pocket formed of denser and densest elements always faces the Sun by the high gravity of the

Sun, while the planet (Mercury/Venus) spins. Friction caused by the molten matter of denser and densest elements with the inner shell of the mantle causes retardation to spin-speed of the planets (Mercury/Venus). By the said friction (retardation), planets would have stopped spinning/rotating; if they would not have been powered to spin by providing torque force by the materialistic particle-rays of the Sun and also of the planet (Discovery explanation over spin by torque force by the materialistic particle-rays is over the website).

The said discovered fact also proves that Mercury and Venus, both have denser elements in huge quantities which are even denser than the iron thus both have higher mean-densities than the Earth.

Final Conclusion:

Leave aside explanation under Serial No. 3; location of the planets Mercury and Venus, nearer to the Sun and magnitude of the volume of atmospheric matter with the Venus by the factor of thrust/push by the blow of solar-ejects as stated under serial No.1 and 2 confirm that both the planets Mercury and Venus have real mean-densities much greater than the Earth.

Requested action:

Please reply to the queries as stated over the attached appendix pink colour page CONCLUSION QUERIES to come at the conclusion that are the real mean-densities of the planets Mercury and Venus lesser or greater than the real correct mean-density of the Earth?

OR

If you have no answer with you, please accept the discovery claim in the interest of the mankind; particularly for the science community to perform research with the correct basics and for the welfare of science students to make their future correctly targeted.

***Density:** Scientists are of the opinion:

Density: The density of Mercury does not confirm with that of the other terrestrial planets, nor with that of the Moon. When corrected for compression due to size, Mercury has the highest density of all. The following theories may account for this anomaly.....

For stated theories and other information, please read under website: <http://sci.esa.int/science-e/www/object/index.cfm?fobjectid=31272>

Note: Scientists are not with the mission through their spacecrafts to know the **real** mean-density (mass/volume) of the planet Mercury, which they also call as average density or compressed density. They are with the mission to explore and confirm uncompressed density of the Mercury (what is uncompressed density? Please see the Internet).

Spacecrafts to explore Mercury: Now European Space Agency (ESA), France is preparing for the mission 'Getting to Mercury' named 'BEPICOLOMBO'. Spacecraft 'BepiColombo' is scheduled to fly in the year 2015 and would orbit Mercury in the year 2022 to know interior composition of the planet Mercury to confirm whether Mercury has higher density (uncompressed) than all the planets. Earlier only one spacecraft was sent in the year 1974-75 but not with the mission over density (mean-density or uncompressed-density). A spacecraft 'MESSENGER' was orbiting the planet Mercury, which has sent thousands of pictures from April 2011 and the mission has lasted in March 2013. But no information related to density (mean-density or uncompressed-density) of the Mercury has been released, though it was one of the objectives of the Mission to find that why is Mercury so dense (uncompressed-density)?

Discovery Patent: Patent Application No. "2863/DEL/ 2010" by "Ramesh Chander Varma (INDIA)". Title: "Materialistic Universe and working mechanism of celestial-bodies based over materialistic-rays".

Discovery claim: (World challenged 2007) from:
Ramesh Varma
852 Sector-8
Panchkula-134109 (Haryana)
INDIA

E-mail: ramesh_varma@newtonugeam.com
Website: www.newtonugeam.com
Discovery facts have been read, understood, confirmed and edited by Deepak Varma.



Get a Reward[#] of ` 50 Lacs (100 thousand US Dollars) by proving the discovery wrong

(If the Discoverer/Challenger had trillions of dollars with him, he would have announced a reward of billions of dollars instead of merely announcing a reward of Rs. 50 Lacs or hundred thousand US dollars).

Get a Reward[#] of ` 50 Lacs (100 thousand US Dollars) by proving discovery as wrong and false as claimed by the Challenger (Ramesh Varma, India) over 'Spin by the planets' and 'Calculated mean-densities of the planets' by just answering to the queries in 'Yes' or 'No' as stated over the two Objective Type Questionnaires; OTQ-1 and OTQ-2 respectively. (Please read and download Questionnaires from the website: www.newtonugeam.com or ask for the same by post/e-mail).

OR

If you can not prove his discovery claim as wrong; An opportunity to feel pride exists at your door steps to get your name registered in the **HISTORICAL REGISTER** to keep your name alive for ever and ever till the Earth/human exists provided you support the Discoverer over his discovery claims over the subjects (Astronomy and Physics) to come at the conclusion by the Experts (World) that what is correct. (Discovery claim is the biggest the World has ever had).

By not being able to prove his discovery claim as wrong; it is obvious that you have been taught wrong (Or you have the wrong knowledge over the said phenomena or over the subjects Astronomy and Physics). In such case, to make the World aware that what is correct; please support the Discoverer/Challenger (Ramesh Varma) by returning the Objective Type Questionnaires (OTQ-1 and OTQ-2) duly signed with your name, age, address, contact number and academic qualification, etc. (If you wish, send your recent passport size photograph to fix over the HISTORICAL REGISTER). At receipt of OTQ-1 and/or OTQ-2 duly signed, your name would be entered into the 'HISTORICAL REGISTER' maintained by the Discoverer. HISTORICAL REGISTER is being specifically prepared and preserved for the concerneds, those who have supported the discovery in making the World aware that what is correct. (Photostat copy and scanned CD of HISTORICAL REGISTER pages are being kept at another safe location to avoid any loss of vital information i.e., your name and photograph).

Either of the two is achievable, by just answering in 'Yes' or 'No' to some queries over two science 'Objective Type Questionnaires' (OTQ-1 and OTQ-2) over discovery claims pertaining to two phenomena 'Spin by the planets' and 'Calculated mean-densities of the planets' respectively of the subjects Astronomy and Physics as stated over discovery claim 'SCIENCE TOPPLED' PART-A2 under title: MATERIALISTIC UNIVERSE of this website. In fact total discovery claim is much bigger.

An important fact: Sooner or later, Experts (World) have to accept discovery claim facts as in the past World has accepted that the Earth is not flat but round, though past era Experts (World) took 2200 years (from first observation made in 500 BC) to understand and to finally accept in the 17th century that the Earth is not flat but round and also a planet. Now the World has fast connectivity of information, so this time, World would not take much time (like, as said) to understand and to accept that what is correct.

Note for General Science-Concerneds: Do not miss the chance to get your name registered in the HISTORICAL REGISTER and act swiftly, lest the World would have accepted discovery claim prior to your action.

Note for the Experts (Astronomers/Astrophysicists/Physicists/Cosmologists/etc): It is in your own interest: Being a true scientist, become a revolutionary by revolting against the prejudice mind-set Science Community of the World over the challenged subjects just by joining hands with the Challenger (Discoverer) and avoid future agony (which may go to any extreme end) if you keep on beating the drum over the existing knowledge (wrong and false), which is with the World (thus with you); because sooner or later discovery claim is going to be accepted by the World.

Conditions apply.

No comments please; only give answers to (i) objective type queries in 'Yes' or 'No' as stated over Objective Type Questionnaire (OTQ-1 and OTQ-2) under discovery claim 'SCIENCE TOPPLED' PART-A2 title: MATERIALISTIC UNIVERSE of this website along with (ii) answers to CONCLUSION QUERIES as stated over the attached pink page to come at the conclusion that what is correct.

Why no comments? Because High Academic Qualification or High Post by the Concerned gives him/her immense/supreme power (liberty) to comment in any way over discovery claim. He/She can give comment the way he/she likes by reading or even without reading. (There are unlimited number of modes of reading; reading like reading a newspaper, snap-reading, scan-reading, reading while doing routine work, reading in isolation peacefully (or otherwise) but the correct way of reading is reading in isolation peacefully to understand that what is correct with true scientific approach mind). Answer to an objective type query in 'Yes' or 'No' leaves the Concerned with no option/opportunity to skip from the targeted fact/reply and slashes influence-power (which every common person understands as the judgment) of the Concerned having high academic-qualification or occupying high/highest-post thus making him/her at par in real knowledge with the other

Concerneds to come out only with the real talented knowledge irrespective of his/her academic qualification or high/ highest-post.

From the comments given; no one can judge that how a reader has read and what real knowledge (not academic qualification) he/she has over the challenged subject. To overcome this snag **of liberty to give comment**, Discoverer has specifically prepared two Objective Type Questionnaires (OTQ-1 and OTQ-2) over two phenomena 'Spin by the planets' and 'Mean-densities of the planets; calculated and actual discovered' to answer queries in 'Yes' or 'No' only, so that no one (Science-Concerned) should go out of the purview of discovered facts/claims to mislead the discovery claim and must answer ('Yes' or 'No') only after giving a through thought.

Because of the said fact to claim reward[#]; please reply only (i) with the answers in 'Yes' or 'No' to Objective Type Questionnaires (OTQ-1 and OTQ-2) under discovery claim 'SCIENCE TOPPLED' PART-A2 title: MATERIALISTIC UNIVERSE of this website along with (ii) answers to CONCLUSION QUERIES as stated over the attached pink page. So, no comments unless comments are accompanied by the answers as asked for.