

Edition-III June 2011 Missile Hit Astronomy Information (SI-1)

by Ramesh Varma (India)

A New Discovery over spin, spin-speed and spin-direction by the planets; particularly by the planets, which are near the Sun/star:

- Planets near the Sun (Mercury, Venus and Earth) prove World's knowledge wrong that Planets spin by the conservation of angular momentum.
- Planet (Venus) and some other planets with other stars which are very near the star and also have dense gassy shell prove World's knowledge (centuries old speculation) wrong that reverse spin to the Venus is by the impact/ collision in the past and also proves wrong recent understanding with the Scientists that some planets spin in the reverse by the gravitational perturbation.

What molten-core inside the solid-planet reveals about its spin and spin-speed on being close to the Sun/star by high magnitude of gravity and high intensity of the rays from the Sun/star?

AND


What dense gassy-shell/liquid gasses-shell along with its long tail over the solid-planet reveals about its spin-speed and spin-direction on being close to the Sun/star by high magnitude of gravity and high intensity of rays from the Sun/star?

Molten-core and dense-gassy shell of the planet, which is particularly near the Sun/star reveal truth that **the planet does not spin by the understood speculated reason** (that planet spin by the conservation of angular/spin momentum which it has got at its formation million/billion years ago from the spinning cloud of matter from which it has been formed. Now no additional power is spinning it; it is spinning automatic). All these said planets further prove that spin by the planets is because of some continuous force. Challenger has discovered and claimed that the force, which spins the planets is the materialistic-rays of the planet and also of the Sun. Reverse spin by the planet(s), which is/are very near the Sun and also have dense gassy-shell is because of the materialistic rays by the factor of dense gassy-shell and also on being very close to the Sun/star as explained.

Physicists! Note: Below stated Discovery information is over the subject '**Astronomy**' but illustration challenges both; the Astronomers/ Astrophysicists and the General-Physicists in particular over the subject '**Light/Rays**' and **States of the matter**.

Note: Information given here is not to comment upon but information over the challenged subjects is to reply some queries as stated.

Sketch by Challenger of Venus along with gassy-shell and tail of stringy stuff. Information of tail from NASA's Pioneer Venus orbiter in the late 1970s and in 1997, by Europe's solar and Heliospheric Observatory satellite.



Edition-I had Astronomy information only over the cores of the planets 'Mercury and Venus' for regulating spin-speeds of the planets to cause in their slow spin-speeds.

Edition-II (March-2011) had information (except Mars and Pluto) about all the cores of the planets and Satellite 'Moon' over regulating spin-speeds of their respective planets/satellite).

Edition-III (June-2011) has information over the discovery of the cause of spin, spin-speed and spin-direction by the planets (Mercury, Venus and Earth), which are close to the Sun with an additional information over discovery by the **Scientists of USA** published in May 2011 in the journal **NATURE** over reverse spin by the planets of other stars, other than the Sun. (**Discovery is correct but the reason of reverse spin observed and concluded by the Scientists is wrong and false as proved by the Challenger.**)

Discovery over the spin, spin-speed and spin-direction by the said planets of solar system has been split to five parts.

PART-1: What molten-core of the planets Mercury, Venus and Earth reveal about the spin and spin-speed of the planets?

AND

What dense gassy-shell of the Planet Venus reveals about the spin, spin-speed and spin-direction*?

*Discovery over reverse spin-direction of the planet Venus by the materialistic-rays can not be understood, unless one understands briefly about the discovery of unique materialistic properties of light/rays. So, explanation over phenomenon 'reverse spin' by the Venus has been given under next Part No. 3.

PART-2: Spin by the planets (celestial-bodies) in general in any direction; specifically spin by the planets in the direction in which the Sun spins having a little or no tilt to their axis of spin.

PART-3: Spin by the planet Venus and other planets, which spin in the reverse/retrograde direction than in which their stars (Sun) spin. (Reverse spin is by their dense gassy-shell and on being close to the Sun/star by the strong materialistic-rays.)

PART-4: Reverse-spin by the some planets with other stars: Journal **NATURE** has reported (May 2011) that **Scientists of USA** have discovered that there are a number of planets with other stars, which spin in the reverse-direction than their respective stars. (**Discovery is correct but the reason of reverse-spin observed and concluded by the Scientists is wrong and false as proved by the Challenger.**)

PART-5: Miscellaneous some general informations about discovery claims.

PART-1: What molten-core of the planets Mercury, Venus and Earth reveal about the spin and spin-speed of the planets? AND What dense gassy-shell of the Planet Venus reveals about the spin, spin-speed and spin-direction?

Experts have not yet understood the role of molten-core and gassy-shell over the spin, spin-speed and spin-direction of the planet. They only know the role of dense core of the Moon. Moon does not spin (It always keeps the same face towards the Earth) because its core of dense-elements has gone eccentric by the high gravity pull of the Earth, which has locked its spin permanently.

(A) Spin by the planet Mercury:

It would be better, if spin by the Mercury should be understood later after spin by the Venus.

Four resistance factors, which cause retardation to the spin-speed of the planet 'Venus':

Because of the discovered resistance factors, the planets which are very near the Sun, spin with very slow speed. (Mercury completes one spin in 1416 hours and Venus in 5393 hours, whereas Earth completes one spin in 24 hours).

Four discovered resistance factors for spin-speed of Venus:

- 1) **Resistance factor No. 1:**
Densest gas elements among mixture of different density gas elements of the gassy-shell of the Venus forms a pocket (disc) in the gassy-shell.
- 2) **Resistance factor No. 2:**
Densest molten elements among mixture of different density elements of the molten-core of the Venus forms a pocket (disc) in the molten-core.
- 3) **Resistance factor No. 3:**
Eccentric position of the solid-Venus inside its dense gassy-shell.
- 4) **Resistance factor No. 4:**
Factor, which causes reverse spin to the planet 'Venus'.

(B) Spin by the planet Venus:

What force spins the Venus? That would be explained later under Part-2. Here, explanation is over the resistance factors, which are applicable over the spin by the Venus. Because of any resistance factor, Venus can not spin for million/billion years by the understood speculation that Venus spins by the conservation of angular/spin momentum but whereas Venus faces a numbers of high resistance factors as stated/explained below. Explanation over the claimed resistance factors is the proof that the Venus (planet) does not spin by the understood speculation thus knowledge over the spin with the World is wrong and false.

Phenomenons, which develop said four resistance factors:


Before any explanation over the four resistance factors, some information taken from the Internet which the Scientists have got must need its knowledge.

(a) Thrust by the solar-wind over the gassy atmosphere:

From Internet June, 2011: Wikipedia

Atmosphere of Venus

Lighter gasses, including water vapor, are continuously blown away by the solar-wind through the induced magnetotail



(b) Form of the gasses over the surface of Venus:

Information from the Internet that at the surface of Venus gases are in the form of a fluid:

Internet June, 2011: Wikipedia

.....

The pressure found on Venus' surface is high enough that the carbon dioxide is technically no longer a gas, but a supercritical fluid.....

(c) Tail of the Venus:

(i) Matter, which forms the tail:

Information exhibited below, has been taken from Internet (thunderbolts.info) on June, 2011.



Venus' Tail of the Unexpected

Ancient peoples report that the planet Venus once had visible "ropes" stretching out to the Earth. Could a plasma glow discharge have been the cause?

The "induced magnetotail" that points away from Venus in the direction of the earth is a teardrop-shaped plasma structure filled with "a lot of little stringy things" that was first detected by NASA's Pioneer Venus Orbiter in the late 1970s. In 1997, Europe's Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO) Satellite showed that the tail stretched some 45,000,000 kilometres into space, more than 600 times as far as anyone had realized and almost far enough to "tickle" the earth when the two planets are in line with the sun.....

Challenger: Scientists have understood that the tail is filled with 'a lot of little stringy things'. What actually is the filled staff, it is not firmly known to them. As per one of the version of the Scientists; lon-tail of Venus formed by the high speed moving solar-wind charged-particles is extremely long stretched (45million kilometers).

If long-stretched tail is not of the gasses then there is no doubt that gasses are in a shell of shape similar to an egg, which has been formed by the thrust of solar-ejects (solar-particles and materialistic-rays).

Note: Challenger has concluded that what so ever would be the stuff that must be materialistic; because it is the gravity of the Venus which is holding materialistic tail-stuff against the thrust of solar-wind (Solar-ejects and materialistic-rays). Materialistic stuff would be nothing else then the lightest gas elements, finest dust matter and water vapors etc having wide space in between.

Challenger has modified the tail to keep away the stuff which the scientists do not know of its kind.

(ii) Why the long tail of Venus is not noticeable by the naked eye and also by the powerful telescope (based over light-rays), though Venus is very close to the Earth but whereas tail of a comet is clearly visible from very far off distance?

Reply by the Challenger:

Said query and its answer do not relate directly to resistance of spin but information here is a must to understand true shape of the gassy-shell of the Venus, which is one of the causes to create resistance to solid-Venus, thus this information.

Venus does not move fast over its orbital-path like the comet moves with high-speed. If Venus attains very high-speed, its long tail would get a bend. Besides getting a bend, it would become short too but would be visible like tail of the comet.

Because of reasonable orbital speed, its tail always points away from the Sun, thus it is always under the shadow of the Venus. Further matter of the tail after some thousand kilometers is not dense but fine particles, molecules and atoms etc of the matter are widely separated. Tail of the Venus is conical but long stretched, so Sun-rays do not fall over the matter of the tail to make it visible.

Comet moves very fast and its move direction is not perfectly pointing towards the Sun. Further size of the nucleus is not very large to cause shadow over its tail. As size of the nucleus is not large and move is not directly towards the Sun; matter of its tail gets flared by the strong thrust of solar-wind (solar-ejects and materialistic-rays). Nucleus and flared matter is exposed to Sun-rays, so nucleus and tail glows to make it visible by the naked eye and telescope (based over light-rays).

Conclusion: Long tail matter of Venus does not spin: this causes effect over the spin of gassy-shell, which in turn causes some friction to spin-speed of the planet-Venus.




(1) Resistance factor No. 1: How densest gas elements form a pocket (disc) and how it causes resistance to spin-speed of the Venus?

In case the Venus had gas elements of only one kind or of only one density in its gassy shell, there would be no said pocket (disc) thus resistance to spin as discovered and claimed; it is the specific pocket (disc) formed of densest gas elements only among different density elements in the gassy-shell which causes resistance. Specific pocket (disc) of densest gas elements is formed by the two factors; (a) By the high thrust of Sun-rays and (b) By the high gravity of the Sun.

(a) Specific pocket (disc) of densest gas elements in gassy shell caused by the thrust of Sun-rays:

Venus has thick and dense gassy shell comprising of different kinds of gasses (92 times denser than the atmosphere of the Earth). Planet 'Venus' being very close to the Sun; faces high thrust from the Sun-rays. Experts have noticed and know that atmospheric gasses of the Venus forms a tail* away from the Sun by the thrust of solar-wind (rays and solar ejects) but they have never given a deep thought or attempted to understand that what effect solar-wind (rays and solar-ejects) causes over the gassy-shell at the opposite side of the tail which faces the Sun. High thrust of Sun-rays de-shape its dense spherical gassy-shell to shape of an egg by keeping large curvature side towards the Sun. High thrust of the Sun-rays blow off less-dense upper gassy-shell to either sides by leaving only dense (medium dense and densest) column of gasses over the Venus surface, which faces the Sun.



Thrust of the Sun-rays also blows off marginal-dense gas elements from the left over very dense column of gasses by leaving only the densest gas elements towards the Sun. By the said phenomenon only the densest and thick column of gas-elements always face the Sun.

(b) Specific pocket (disc) of densest gas elements in gassy shell; caused by the gravity of the Sun:

Planet 'Venus' being very close to the Sun faces high gravity. High gravity of the Sun pulls out densest gas elements towards the Sun. Further, gravity factor of the Sun also helps by its pull to retain densest gas (or fluid gas) elements always towards the Sun (or does not allow them to spin along with the solid-Venus). This factor causes viscosity friction.

Conclusion: Both the said phenomenon by the thrust of Sun-rays and by the gravity of Sun-rays always keep the densest gas (fluid-gas) elements in thick column in the shape of a disc towards the Sun. This disc of densest gas-elements always faces the Sun, while the solid Venus spins. This phenomenon causes friction/resistance thus retardation to spin-speed of the Venus?

(2) Resistance factor No. 2: How densest molten elements of the core form a pocket (disc) and how it causes resistance to spin-speed of the Venus?

The cause of resistance to spin by the densest molten elements of the core is similar to resistance caused by the densest gas elements of the gassy-shell. In case the molten core of the Venus had specific composition of only one kind of elements or having the same density, there would be no disc or resistance as discovered and claimed. Molten core of the planet 'Venus and Mercury' have mixture of elements with different densities. Planet 'Venus' and 'Mercury' have been formed from very dense elements thus their respective core contains great quantum of very dense-elements. High gravity of the Sun pulls densest elements towards the Sun by leaving less-dense elements at the other side. This phenomenon always keeps the densest elements of the molten core in the shape of a disc towards the Sun. Said disc of densest Molten elements does not spin with the planet but always faces the Sun by the pull of high gravity of the Sun. Said disc of densest molten mass acts like a brake-shoe of the wheel-drum to cause viscosity friction with the semi-molten mass of the Venus. This phenomenon causes viscosity friction thus retardation to spin-speed of the Venus.

(3) Resistance factor No. 3: How solid-Venus goes eccentric in its gassy-shell and causes resistance to spin speed?

Strong thrust by the Sun-rays pushes the gassy-shell away besides deforming shape of gassy-shell from spherical to a shape similar to an egg. Strong gravity of the Sun pulls solid-Venus towards it. Both the said phenomenon result in to keep the solid-Venus eccentric in its gassy-shell.

If solid Venus was at the centre of the gassy-shell and also the gassy-shell had not deformed its shape (or would be a spherical shell); there would be no such resistance in process to spin by the solid-Venus with its gassy-shell. Because of the said eccentric reason, shell of the gasses of egg shape (by retaining the same position), solid-Venus causes friction thus resistance in process to spin.

(4) Resistance factor No. 4: How the factor, which causes reverse spin, reduces spin-speed of the Venus to extremely slow?

Reverse spin of the Venus is not because of an impact or collision in the past, which tilted it upside down (to make understand reverse spin) as speculated by the past-era Scientists and now being blindly understood by the mind-set trend.

Recently (May 2011) Scientists of USA have discovered that there are a number of planets with other stars, which too spin in the reverse. All such reverse spinning planets are very close to the stars and also have gassy-shell. This finding confirms that for reverse spin, a planet must be near the star (Sun) and also must have gassy-shell (dense gassy shell). It is the proof that World's speculation (knowledge) over the reverse spin of the Venus is wrong and false and what the Challenger has claimed (Reverse spin to Venus by its dense gassy shell and also on being close to the Sun) is true. Planet 'Venus' gets a reverse spin from the same force (energy), which spins the planets/celestial-bodies. Venus spins in the reverse because of its dense gassy shell and also on being very close to the Sun by the reason/factor as explained under the relevant chapter. It is not possible to explain here in short and also not in brief (without any explanation over the force which spins the planets.) that how reverse spin helps the Venus to spin with extremely-slow speed.

Venus is very slow in its spin-speed than the Mercury (or Mercury spins faster than the Venus) because Mercury gets resistance only from the densest-elements of its core but whereas Venus gets resistance to its spin besides the densest-elements of its core also by the densest-elements of its gassy shell. Moreover, further slow spin-speed is caused by the eccentric position of solid Venus in egg shaped dense gassy shell and also by the reason, which reverses the spin direction of the Venus.



Which force/power spins the Venus (planets)?

Scientists have admitted that light/rays have dual characteristic of the wave and particle. Particle characteristic has the materialistic properties. It is the materialistic properties of the rays which spins the Venus (planets) by overcoming the said great resistance factors. Materialistic rays not only spin the solid surface but also intend to spin gassy/liquid shell which is over the solid sphere of the gassy-planets. Materialistic-rays give slow spin to gassy shell too besides giving spin to the solid planet.



Query to the World (Scientists/Experts) and also to you for reply; reply (Query No. Venus/spin/A) or reply (Venus/spin/B):

Please reply the query by keeping in mind that Venus spins extremely slow by completing one spin rotation in 5393 hours (with such a low spin/angular momentum, Venus can not even complete one thousand spins, rather it may stop after some spins).

(Query No. Venus/spin/A) Reply the query: That how Venus presently spins automatic with angular/spin momentum, (which it got million/billion years ago) by continuously facing (overcoming) the said four great resistance factors to its spin?

Note: For reply/explanation by you, please consider only three resistance factors other than the fourth reason of resistance by the reverse spin of the Venus, as fourth resistance factor is beyond your present understanding.

OR

(Query No. Venus/spin/B) Reply: That (1) Planet 'Venus' does not form any pocket (disc) of densest gas elements, in its gassy shell to cause resistance to its spin-speed; (2) Planet 'Venus' also does not form any pocket (disc) of molten densest elements in its molten core to cause resistance to its spin-speed and (3) Gassy shell of the Venus is not of egg-shaped and solid-Venus is not at eccentric position in side the gassy shell to cause resistance to its spin-speed. Planet 'Venus' is free from any of the stated resistance factors thus it is spinning with its angular momentum, which it got million/billion years ago at its formation from the spinning cloud of matter.

Request: Please do not keep the students and coming generations in dark by keeping mum/silent.



Note: Venus also faces two more resistance-factors making a total of six resistance-factors. Out of here said two resistance-factors, one resistance-factor is applicable only over the planets, which are very near the Sun (star) i.e., Mercury and Venus. And the second resistance-factor is applicable over all the celestial-bodies including Venus. Explanation over these two resistance-factors is not possible here, unless concerned understands contents of the discovery claims stated under title: MATERIALISTIC UNIVERSE.

(C) Spin by the planet Mercury:

Centuries ago when theory over spin was formulated by the speculation that planets spin by the conservation of angular momentum; no one had given a thought thus had no knowledge that cores of the planets are still molten. Till to date blind mind-set trend is being followed over the knowledge about the spin by the planets that planets spin by the conservation of spin momentum which they got at the time of their formation. Experts understand (falsely) that as there is no friction in the space, so the planets would keep on spinning without any external/internal force acting over the planets.


Every planet faces resistance with the space; space in which it spins. Space is materialistic, which has been proved under the relevant chapter. But here, Challenger has discovered some resistance factors other than the resistance by the space. If resistance by the space is ignored, planet 'Mercury' can not spin unless it gets power to spin. Resistance to spin caused by the pocket (disc) formed of densest elements of the molten core as explained below is the proof that Mercury (planet) does not spin by the speculated reason that planets spin by the conservation of angular momentum.

Proof of the resistance thus slow spin by the planet 'Mercury'.

Slow spin-speed of the planet 'Mercury' by its molten cores: (Mercury completes one spin in 1416 hours, whereas Earth completes one spin in 24 hours).

Sketch of the planet Mercury as viewed from its pole:

Retardation to spin-speed of the planet by the friction of stationary disc formed of densest molten mass elements among less denser elements mass in the molten core.



(1) Disc acts like brake shoe-pad; (2) planet's inner side acts like vehicle's inner wheel drum and (3) pull of gravity by the Sun acts like pull by the brake wire of the vehicle to cause retardation thus stop.

Slow spin-speed of the Mercury is because of continuous retardation to its spin-speed given from the friction (viscosity-friction) by the disc formed of densest molten mass/elements in the molten core among less dense element mass. This molten disc of dense-mass does not spin with the planet (which is very near to the Sun) but always faces the Sun because of great gravity pull by the Sun as Sun is very close to it. Friction (viscosity-friction) by the molten dense mass disc is with its both sides; (i) with the inner semi-molten/semi-solid mass of the planet and (ii) also at the other side of the disc with the remaining molten mass of its central core.

Mercury has the highest density element masses as compared to the Venus and whereas Venus has much more-dense element masses in its core than the Earth. Molten-cores of the planets Mercury and Venus have mixture of different density elements/masses. If the Molten mass of the core was of only one element, however dense it may be, no disc/pocket of the dense mass would have formed to cause friction thus retardation to the spin of the planet.

Query to the World (Scientists/Experts) and also to you for reply; (Query No. Mercury/spin/A) or reply (Mercury/spin/B):

Please reply the query by keeping in mind that Mercury spins slowly by completing one spin rotation in 1416 hours (with such a low spin/angular momentum, Mercury can not even complete a few thousand spins, rather it may stop after some spins).

(Query No. Mercury/spin/A) Reply the query: That how Mercury presently spins automatic with angular/spin momentum, (which it got million/billion years ago) by continuously facing (overcoming) the said great resistance factor (by the disc of densest molten elements) to its spin?

OR

(Query No. Mercury/spin/B) Reply: That planet 'Mercury' does not form any pocket (disc) of molten densest elements in its molten core to cause resistance to its spin-speed and Planet 'Mercury' is free from the stated resistance factor thus it is spinning with its angular momentum, which it got million/billion years ago at its formation from the spinning cloud of matter.

Request: Please do not keep the students and coming generations in dark by keeping mum/silent.

Note: Mercury faces two more resistance-factors making a total of three resistance-factors. Out of here said two resistance-factors, one resistance-factor is applicable only over the planets, which are very near the Sun (star) i.e., Mercury and Venus. And the second resistance-factor is applicable over all the celestial-bodies including Mercury. Explanation over these two resistance-factors is not possible here, unless concerned understands contents of the discovery claims stated under title: MATERIALISTIC UNIVERSE.

A very very ...important discovery supposed observation:

Presume a stationary (zero spinning speed) planet in the space at any isolated place; away from the influence of any celestial body. As per the Experts' (Astronomers'/Astrophysicists'/Physicists') finding (that molten core of the Earth spins faster than the surface), molten core of the presumed stationary planet must be spinning at more than zero speed OR in other words molten core of the planet is spinning, whereas the planet in frictionless zone is stationary. **Query: How is it possible to spin by the molten core without giving a spin to the planet, when the planet is in a frictionless-zone?** The adamant Experts have no answer except to remain mum/silent but whereas sensible-Expert would understand and declare that the Earth (planet) does not spin by the conservation of angular momentum; there is a force (energy) which spins the Earth (planet).

(D) Spin by the Earth:

Challenger came across information published in a Newspaper (2003) and recently over the Internet that molten core of the Earth spins faster than the Earth.

What actually is taking place with the Earth and with its molten core?

Discovery/finding by the Experts that molten core of the Earth spins faster than the Earth is the proof that the Earth (Planet) does not spin by the conservation of angular momentum. If the Earth was/is spinning automatically without any torque-force, molten core of the Earth would not have spun faster/slower than the spin-speed of the Earth. Faster speed of the molten core suggests that it is the escaping energy (materialistic-rays) from every shell of the core and the Earth which are giving a torque-force to every shell at its escape; with the result core and the Earth both get a spin. Because of friction of the Earth with the space medium (white-matter), core of the Earth is spinning faster than the surface. If there was no friction by the Earth surface with the space, core would have given spin to the Earth to match with its own spin.

Conclusion: Finding of the Experts that core of the Earth spins faster than the surface is the proof that energy (materialistic rays) generated/released by the core spins the planet by friction of core matter with the next upper shell (Inside of the Earth).

Besides this Earth (planets) gets spin by the escaping materialistic-rays from its surface. Sun-rays too spin the Earth (planet) as illustrated under the relevant chapter.

Query to the World (Scientists/Experts) and also to you for reply:

(Query No. Earth/spin/A): Please reply the query by keeping and mind above stated facts.

(Query No. Earth/spin/B): That how is it possible to spin fast by the molten-core without giving spin to the Earth.

From Internet: March 2011
Earth Sky
 Earth's inner core rotates faster than the rest of the planet.....

From Internet: March 2011
National Geographic News
 Earth's core spins faster than surface, study confirms.....

Faster spin-speed of the molten-core than the spin-speed of the surface of the Earth suggests very important fact related to spin by the Earth (planets). Before any explanation, a practical and a supposed observation as stated below need understanding.

Practical Explanation:

Take a cooking container (bowl) having convex bulge at the bottom for its spin. Pour some water into it by resting it over a hard surface (Marble slab). Stir water with a spoon without touching spoon with the container to give rotation (spin) to the water. Container would start rotating by the viscosity friction of rotating water but with slower spin-speed than the water because of the friction of container with the marble surface. (If the container had zero friction with the marble slab, it must have spin with the same spin-speed as that of the water). Similarly, Earth (planet) is bound to get spin from its spinning molten core matter; Slower spin-speed of the planet than the spin-speed of the molten-core is because of the friction by the outer surface of the planet with the surrounding space-medium (white-matter; as discovered and claimed by the Challenger).

PART-2: Spin by the planets (celestial-bodies) in general in any direction; specifically spin by the planets in the direction is which the Sun spins having a little or no tilt to their axis of spin.

How materialistic-rays spin the planets (celestial-bodies)?

Illustration is under title: Materialistic Universe but here some information has been given in flash form.

formation from the spinning cloud from which they have been formed. World further speculated that the space is empty (by wrongly understanding the properties of light/rays), thus World understands that the space is frictionless. So the planets, once they got the spin would keep on spinning and spinning with the same speed without any external or internal power.

FLASH INFORMATION:


Challenger:

It is the escaping materialistic-rays of the Sun and the planets, which spin the planets after overcoming some resistance factors:

Knowledge with the World:


World speculated and accepted (blindly) that the planets spin by the conservation of angular momentum, which they got at their

Pattern of materialistic-rays as viewed from equator plane.




Materialistic curved rays form a mirror-reflection-image pattern over its equator-plane. This pattern of rays traps smaller matter/objects/bodies than the spinning body in this flat plane.

Pattern of materialistic-rays at the equator as seen from above the pole of any spinning celestial body.



Materialistic rays on leaving any big/celestial body do not leave the body stationary but give it a torque force by their back-thrust to spin. Further, materialistic-rays on emerging from any spinning celestial body do not travel straight

Flash information: How materialistic rays spin the planets?



Materialistic Rays on emerging from spinning body do not travel straight but adopt curved path. Materialistic curved rays of the Sun are spinning the Planets by creating thrust difference on half side which is facing the Sun.

Materialistic rays radiated/generated by the planets play greater role to spin the planets besides planets to get spin by the Sun-rays.

Note: Materialistic rays on leaving spinning body adopt curved path but for optical purpose path of the rays is apparently seen and noticed as straight (Explanation that why it is so is under chapter: STATES OF THE MATTER).

Explanation in flash form:

Universe is materialistic. Space is filled with materialistic media (white-matter) which poses resistance to bodies. Light/rays are stream of materialistic particles. Physical property of the rays and its particles is such that when a ray emerges from a spinning body it forms a curve. All rays on emerging from a spinning body form a specific pattern i.e., Mirror reflection (image) pattern over the plane passing through the equator of the spinning body. Rays being materialistic put its thrust pressure over the body while leaving and also on its strike over another adjacent (effective range bodies). Magnitude of thrust by the rays over a body is as per body's surface-area and mass ratio.

Sun got its pre-spin direction by the thrust of curved-rays from its parental formation and later Sun started spinning by its own released (generated) rays. Rays on emerging from the spinning Sun forms a curve. These curved rays due to their angular strike over the Earth with variable magnitude of thrust on either side of the Earth results to give a pre-spin to the Earth. Earth spins by its own rays and also by the Sun rays in the direction of spin in which Sun has guided it. Mirror reflection (image) pattern of the Sun rays are keeping the Earth and other members of the Sun's family over flat trap-zone (the plane passing through the equator of the Sun). Thrust of Sun rays over the Earth is keeping the Earth away from the Sun against their gravity-pull. (Rays emerging from the Earth act against the rays from the Sun like rays from the same magnetic poles to repel the Sun but due to reflex-action Earth it-self goes away further from the Sun). Sun-rays on emerging from a spinning Sun besides their forward move also move like spokes of a spinning wheel. Curved-Sun rays on their strike over the side of Earth push the Earth to move. This straight but move in the tangent direction of the Earth gets transferred to an orbital move due to semi-centrifugal force resulting to keep the Earth a little more away from the Sun in addition to Earth being kept away by the thrust of Sun rays.

Reflex action by the Earth: Sun rays spin the Earth; keep the Earth away in a flat plane and continuously keep on pushing the Earth to move in an orbit around the Sun. The same effect Earth puts over the Sun by its own generated/radiated rays to keep the Sun away, to spin it (Sun) and to force the Sun to move in its orbit. This reflex action of the Earth results to put effect over the Earth and Sun as per their size/mass/rays-power (strength). In this reflex result Earth gets faster orbital speed and also goes further far away from the Sun.

Conclusion:

If the planets were not getting continuous power to spin by the materialistic-rays from the Sun and also by their own radiated/generated rays as discovered and understood by the Challenger, no planet would be spinning now and all other celestial bodies would also have stopped their spin sooner or later by the friction of any or all the factors (dense gassy shell, dense liquid-gassy shell, disc of densest elements in the molten core and space matter i.e., White-matter). What is white-matter? Explanation is under MATERIALISTIC UNIVERSE.

PART-3: Spin by the planet Venus and other planets, which spin in the reverse/retrograde direction than in which their stars (Sun) spin (Reverse spin is by their dense-gassy shell and on being close to the star/Sun by the strong materialistic-rays).

Before any explanation over the reverse spin by the Venus; some information is a must to understand the discovery information. Information is about other gassy planets and also about the mean densities of the planets but here in particular mean density of the Venus. Some information also required about dense atmosphere of the Venus, which is 90 times denser than the Earth.

(a) Flash information about spin by other gassy planets of solar system: (Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus and Neptune):

These giant gassy planets release more rays (energy) than they get from the Sun. Because of the said factors, they all spin faster. Explanation is under the relevant chapters.

Uranus spins with its titled axis almost parallel to its orbital plane. Tilt to its axis of spin by the materialistic-rays of the Sun is because of its solid core, which has been formed by clubbing of nearby two planets thus forming a prolate shape (distance between the poles is greater than the diameter at the equator). Explanation is under the relevant chapter.

(B) Mean densities calculated of all the planets (Venus) are wrong and false:


(World has calculated mean density of Venus as 5.25 gms/cm³ and that of the Earth as 5.42gms/cm³).

Scientists have not measured practically the densities of the planets but have calculated mean densities by their orbital speed and distance of the planet from the Sun. Because Scientists have ignored the thrust factor (a major factor) over the planets by the materialistic-rays, so densities calculated are wrong and false. Density calculated of Venus is wrong. Diameter of the Venus is 12104 KM and that of the Earth is 12756KM. If density of the Venus was the same as that of the Earth, Venus being of small size it would be away from the Earth by the thrust of Sun materialistic-rays (white-matter). Whereas Venus is ahead to the Earth, so density of the Venus is much greater than the Earth. Challenger has concluded that the density of the Mercury is the greatest (much more than calculated) and density of the Venus is in between the Mercury and the Earth.

Note: Experts must send space-craft to measure practically the gravity thus density of the planets (Venus and Mercury) to know the truth.

Query to the World (Scientists/Experts) and also to you for reply:

Please reply the query by keeping in mind below stated facts:



World (Experts) knows that Sun-rays puts thrust over the gassy-shell of Venus to form tail away from the Sun. This fact confirms that Sun-rays put thrust over all the planets but with strong force over the planets, which are very near the Sun i.e., Mercury, Venus and Earth.

If density of the Venus was the same as that of the Earth; because of its small size, it (Venus) must be away from the Earth at position (C). But mean density calculated of the Venus is lower than the Earth, so its (Venus) position even goes further away at position (D).

Query No. Venus/Density/A: Why Venus, which has small diameter than the Earth and also has lower mean density than the Earth has not been thrust away by the strong Sun-rays at position-D?

OR

Reply No. Venus/Density/B: That mean densities calculated of the Venus (planets) are wrong and in fact Venus has more mean density than the Earth.

(c) Why the Venus has dense gaseous atmosphere?

Venus once was a small-Venus and had wide orbit. It had water, life and atmosphere some what similar to the present Earth. On approach near the Sun by the addition of mass bit by bit, it entered to extremely hot zone of the Sun. Its water evaporated and drifted far away in Oort-cloud by the Sun materialistic-rays (white-matter). Some water was retained by the celestial-bodies which are away from the Venus. Most of the compounds along with fossil-fuel and bio-mass (life) on entering to heat-zone released gases. Venus has great gravity thus it has been able to hold thick/dense gases in spite of getting high thrust from the Sun materialistic-rays (white-matter).

Reverse spin by the Venus:

(a) How materialistic-rays of the Sun spin Venus in the reverse?

Reverse spin direction of the Venus is not by the hit of any big object as the World understands but it is because of the discovered reason as stated.


Challenger has concluded and proved that thick column dense gassy atmosphere over the surface of Venus is resulting to spin the Venus in the reverse direction than the other planets. Materialistic rays of the Sun while entering the dense atmosphere of Venus change direction due to the change in medium from rarer medium (space) to high denser (thick and dense column of gases). Materialistic rays of the Sun bend towards the normal, bending of the rays keep on increasing at every step/shell due to increasing density, thus the rays form an arc. This bended direction of rays put greater thrust over the surface of Venus at other side than what it would be in the absence of the said dense medium. So Venus spins (rotates) in the reverse direction than the other planets. This can be well understood by the sketch.

Materialistic Sun rays while entering dense gaseous zone put angular thrust pressure over the gas molecules. These gas molecules intend to spin the planet in the direction as per the Sun-white-matter rays' direction hitting over these molecules.

Dense atmospheric gases are the part of the Venus; any affect over the gases is transferred to the Venus solid mass. So spin given by the Sun-rays to dense gassy atmosphere of Venus is the spin given by the Sun rays to the Venus solid mass. Materialistic Sun-rays after passing through the dense gassy atmosphere give torque force spin over its solid surface. Because the rays get a bend on passing through denser medium, thus spin to Venus is given by the materialistic Sun-rays in the retrograde direction.

Note: Once Venus gets a spin direction from the materialistic Sun rays, rays emerging from the Venus (radiated or generated) also would give spin to the Venus in the same direction in which direction the spin has been given by the materialistic Sun-rays.


How materialistic Sun-rays bend towards the normal on passing through denser gassy atmosphere of the Venus? (Rays' thrust factor to de-shape gasses shell has been ignored here).



Rays D,E,F and nearby (not shown) which are the prime rays to rotate a planet, all bend toward normal. Diverted/bended rays are almost directing toward the centre of the planet, thus having no or little effect to spin/rotate the planet (Venus). Whereas the rays A,B,C and nearby (not shown) by bending toward the normal become effective to spin/rotate the planet in the reverse direction of the Sun's rotation (or in the reverse direction as compared with other planets.)

Radiated (released) white-matter rays of the planet helps the planet to rotate faster in the direction in which it is rotating or being rotated by the external force of Sun rays. So radiated (released) white-matter rays help the Venus to rotate faster in the direction in which the Sun white-matter rays are rotating (forcing/ guiding) the Venus to rotate.

Query for reply over reverse spin by Venus is ahead under Part No.4:



PART-4: Reverse spin by some planets with other stars: Journal NATURE has reported (May 2011) that **Scientists of USA** have discovered that there are a number of planets with other stars, which spin in the reverse direction than their respective stars. **(Discovery is correct but the reason of reverse spin observed and concluded by the Scientists is wrong and false as proved by the Challenger.)**

Why some planets spin backward?
(Research by USA; reported in journal NATURE)
Comments and discovery by the Challenger, Ramesh Varma; India.

FLASH

USA Scientists; journal Nature; reported by Reuters:

Scientists of USA reported in journal NATURE that some planets of other stars too spin in the reverse like Venus. All such retrograde spinning planets with other stars are gassy and giant like Jupiter but are very near the stars, contrary to Jupiter.

Challenger: Planets, which spin in the reverse, might be big like Jupiter or of the size comparable to Venus. For reverse-spin planet must be gassy but can never be gassy like Jupiter and other gassy planets of solar system but should have dense gassy shell over it like Venus. Further, planet must be near the star, because planet near the star only are.....

Note: Planets, which spin in the reverse can not spin fast but are extremely slow spinner as stated earlier under PART-1.

What scientists of USA have discovered and what reason they have observed/concluded for the reverse spin by the planets with other stars that have been exhibited after explanation given by the Challenger.

Explanation by the Challenger:

To understand reverse spin by the planets, it is a must to understand Venus. Though there is no need to understand Jupiter but recent research by the scientists of USA, which has been published in the journal NATURE has claimed that planet like Jupiter with other stars spin in the reverse thus need has arrived to understand Jupiter too.

There are only two prime factors besides some other secondary factors which reverse the spin of the planet.

Prime factors:

- (i) Planet should have densest gassy shell over it.
- (ii) Planet must be near the Sun/star, so that strong materialistic-rays should penetrate deep in side the extreme dense gassy shell.

(a) Why are the planets which have very dense gassy shell (which spin in the reverse) exist only near the Sun/star and why not at far away?

Planets of solar system:

- Planets have been formed from the disc of masses, which once surrounded the star/Sun. Densest elements were near the Sun/star, whereas less-denser/lighter were far away (Explanation is under the relevant chapter). This resulted to form planets with densest core near the Sun/star. In solar system **real** mean density of the planet Mercury is the greatest, followed by the Venus and then that of the Earth. (Densities calculated of the planets by the World by ignoring thrust factor by the materialistic-rays are wrong) Great density of Venus and its size comparable to Earth has resulted in its high gravity-pull thus planet Venus is holding thick gassy shell (90 times denser gasses than the Earth). Similarly the planets, which are very near the other stars, some must have thick gassy shell similar to the Venus have **but not gassy shell similar to the Jupiter.**

- Though Mercury has **real** mean density greater than all the planets but it has thin gases atmosphere because it is of small size and very near the Sun, Because of its small size, it has lower gravity and it is facing great thrust of materialistic-Sun rays, which has blown off much of its gasses to space.

- Earth has every low density of gases as compared to Venus because it has lower **real** mean density thus lower gravity than the Venus, Much of the gases, which Earth once had in the past history, has been transformed to water, vegetation, life and fossil fuel, whereas such form of matter of Venus which existed in the past has re-released the gases on its approach near the Sun (Explanation is under PART-1 over Venus and also under the relevant chapter).

Reverse spinning planets with other stars: Situation with some of the planets of other stars is the same or similar as of the Venus. Size of the planet, which is near the star depends upon the size of the star. Our Sun is a star of an average size, so big stars would be having big planets near them of the size equivalent to Jupiter.

(b) Dense gassy/liquid atmosphere bends the materialistic rays of the star/Sun; bended rays spin the planet in the reverse direction:

(Here in flash form but explanation is under PART-3 over reverse spin by the Venus and under the relevant chapter). Dense gassy atmosphere of the Venus, which is 92 times denser than the Earth, bends materialistic light/rays of the Sun towards its normal. This factor of dense gases changes the striking angle of the materialistic light/rays of the Sun over the surface of the Venus to spin it in the reverse direction. Gassy shell over the Venus is so thick and dense that we cannot see its solid surface, whereas we can see from the space surface of our Earth and also of the Mercury and Mars.

Further, materialistic rays of the Venus spin the Venus in the direction in which direction the Sun-rays have directed and given the spin thus materialistic rays from the Sun and Venus both spin Venus in the reverse direction by overcoming friction.

If size of the planet is big, but has other features and situation like Venus; materialistic light/rays may not reach at the solid surface of the big planet. It would not make much difference; bended light/rays would spin the shells of dense-gases and dense-liquid-gases in the reverse direction to give a reverse direction to its solid mass, which is hiding in side.

(c) Comparison between Jupiter and Venus:

(Here in flash form but explanation is under the relevant chapter). Planets, like Jupiter do not have dense gases at the top as compared to Venus have. Solid-mass of the Venus is great as compared to volume of its dense gassy-shell, whereas solid-mass (core) of the Jupiter is much lesser than the volume of the shell of gasses/liquid-gasses. Venus has huge core of very dense solid elements but whereas core of the Jupiter is not from such dense elements. Further, Sun rays are very feeble, where the Jupiter and other gassy planets are situated.

(d) The force, which spins the planet:

(Here in flash form but explanation is under PART-2 and also under the relevant chapter). It is the materialistic-rays of the Sun/star and also of the planet itself, which spins the planet.

(e) Spin by the gassy giant planets of the solar system:

(Here in flash form but explanation is under the relevant chapter). In Solar system, position of the gassy planets is far off, where they get less energy (materialistic-rays) from the Sun than they release (generate and radiate). This factor prohibits reverse spin but this factor helps them to spin faster. These giant gassy planets have not been formed at once but have been developed so from objects of solar disc to a small-planet and from small-planet to the present size. When they were small planets they could not spin in the reverse (because of small thin atmosphere and being far off from the Sun) but when they developed to the present size they got the features (their solid core went deep inside; solid core is not as dense as of the planets, which are very near the Sun/star; their position is far of from the Sun thus get very feeble Sun/star rays and have great volume of the gasses which make it less denser than the gasses of the planet like Venus). So, such gassy planets like Jupiter can not spin in the reverse direction.

What reason the Scientists of USA have understood for the reverse spin by the planets?

Recently in May 2011 a discovery was published in the science journal 'Nature'. Edited extract of the discovery claim reported by Reuters is as under:

FROM (Reuters)-Some planets are just flipping backward. May 11, 2011

Flipping Jupiters! Why some planets spin backward

Of the more than 500 planets detected around stars besides our Sun, the vast majority appear to spin the same way the star does, scientists reported on Wednesday in the journal Nature.

But some of these extrasolar planets spin in the opposite direction of the stars they orbit, astronomers found. These strange, backward-spinning planets are usually gassy giants called hot Jupiters, not rocky orbs like Earth.

Besides their backwards twirling, which the astronomers call flipped orbits, these big planets huddle close to their stars, unlike Jupiter, which is about 483 million miles (778 million km) from the Sun, more than five times as distant from the Sun as Earth.

"That's really weird, and it's even weirder because the planet is so close to the star," Frederic Rasio of Northwestern University said in a statement.

"How can one be spinning one way and the other orbiting exactly the other way? It's crazy. It so obviously violates our most basic picture of planet and star formation."

Astronomers have long theorized that big gas planets form further away from their stars, while Earth-like rocks are born closer in.

But just because a Jupiter-like planet forms in the planetary boondocks doesn't mean it stays there, Rasio and his colleagues reported.

* When planetary systems contain more than one planet, in addition to a star, each planet has its own gravitational force, causing the planets to interact and eventually pulling the gas giants close to the star and even reversing its orbit, the scientists found.

This process is known as gravitational perturbation, or an exchange of angular momentum.

Astronomers have been detecting extrasolar planets since 1995, but have seen only a handful. The others are inferred by the gravitational pull they exert on the stars they orbit, creating a starry wobble that indicates one or more planets present but unseen in the planetary system.

The National Science Foundation supported this research.

the relevant chapter by an another reason as stated briefly earlier).

Experts' own understanding over the calculated mean densities of the planet proves scientists' understood reason of the reverse spin i.e., gravitational perturbation as wrong and false.

Note: High gravity of a big nearby planet can pull another planet, near or away from the Sun but affected planet would restore its actual distance by the thrust of rays and pull by the gravity on being going far off from the planet which has affected its orbital distance.

Gravity of a nearby planet or of the star can not reverse spin direction of any planet; however gravity of another planet or star can stop-spin because of eccentric dense core of the planet/satellite; like gravity of the Earth has stopped spin of the Moon to lock its spin permanently because of eccentric dense core of the Moon. Spin-speed and spin direction are not governed by the gravity but this phenomenon of spin is because of the materialistic-rays of the star and planet(s).

Reverse spin by the Venus is not by an impact/collision:

Experts also understand from centuries that reverse spin by the Venus is because of an impact/collision with a big object/planet which happened in the past history. By presuming this speculated reason as true, all the planets of other stars which have reverse spin must have got reverse spin by the collision factor. Is it possible that collision occurs/occurred only near the Sun/star which tilts/tilted a planet upside down to spin it in the reverse? There are millions of possibilities about new adopted position of spin after the impact but why only one possibility has been occurring i.e., upside down of the planet to understand its reverse spin?

Further, all the planets, which spin in the reverse direction are dense gassy. Big planets besides dense gasses also have dense liquid-gassy shell over their solid mass. How can an impact or collision of such planets (which have cushion of dense gasses and liquid gasses) with some other big object/planet could tilt such planets up side down to spin in the reverse.

Discovery by the Scientists that Venus is not only the planet but there are a numbers of other planets too which spins in the reverse and all are gassy and close to the Sun. This proves that planet Venus has not got reverse spin by any impact or collision in the past but its reverse-spin is by the materialistic rays of the Sun because of its dense gassy atmosphere and also on being close to the Sun.

Planets which spin in the reverse do not have gassy shell, like that of Jupiter but have like that the Venus:

Experts have falsely understood that the planets which have reverse spin are gassy like Jupiter (Big gassy planet). Size of the planet which is near the star depends upon the size of the star. A big star can have planet of Jupiter's size near to it; our Sun is an average size star, so it has small planets near to it. Planet which is near the star and has gassy dense shell, must be having a great quantum of solid mass than its gaseous atmosphere and would not be like that of Jupiter but would be like-that of gassy (dense gassy) Venus.



Challenger:

Reverse spin by the planets is not by the gravitational perturbation:

Scientists have discovered that some gassy planets with other stars spin backward; that is true but the reason understood by the Scientists which results for their backward spin is not correct but is a false speculation. The reason observed/understood by them (Marked*) counters their (Scientists) own understanding over calculated mean density of the planets (calculated mean density is based over orbital motion speed and orbital distance of the planets). **Note:** Densities of the planets/celestial-bodies have not been measured practically but have been calculated from the data of orbital speed and distance of the planet from the Sun.

Scientists have claimed that gravity of one giant planet can pull the other giant plant close to the star (Sun) OR in other words can reduce its orbital distance. As per their said understanding besides orbital distance; orbital speed too would be reduced/enhanced by the gravitational perturbation. Scientists have calculated mean density of the planets of solar system by the orbital distance and orbital speed of the planet. If gravitational perturbation by the star/big planet can very the orbital-speed and orbital-distance of a planet, then calculated mean densities of all the planets are wrong (In fact mean densities calculated of all the celestial bodies are really wrong as illustrated and proved under

How hot Jupiter comes near the Sun/stars?

Understanding the planets like Jupiter i.e., 'hot Jupiter':

(Here in flash form but Illustration is under the relevant chapter). Scientists can not understand correctly working mechanism of any normal planet and it is more difficult for them to understand hot Jupiters because big gassy planet like Jupiter generates their own energy (materialistic-rays). Their own generated materialistic-rays drift them further apart from the star. Materialistic-rays of the planets and Sun/star repel each other, like magnetic rays repels of the same poles. Own generated rays by the planets; spin the planet with faster rate. Scientists have admitted that they have failed to understand planet like hot Jupiters as exhibited below.

From Internet: journal NATURE, May 12, 2011

Despite many attempts, there is no model that can account for all the properties of the known hot Jupiter systems.....

Further, the reason understood by the Scientists about the shift of orbital distance from far off to the closer of the star by the hot Jupiters is also wrong as exhibited below.

From Internet: journal NATURE, May 12, 2011

I áÉã çÇEæi ÖÖErié iÜ-i Üçgi éáEé Nã ÉÇÑe-i ~ó Nçã iÜÉ
 éi-é ~áÇ éäi ä ééá-áEÇ äi äéäÖ ~äÖ ä-é ä çã Éäii ä ~äÇ
 çÁA-á ÉáEÖö iç iÜÉ ééççéá-áEi-éó ÇääKKçUé ç äÖ-íçáá[∞]
 ééçÁEéé eÜçí ç ééçQ ÁE éá-áEié i aÜ äi çéAá-áãAã-íçáé
 ~äÇÉAAÉáíéááEéK

Planets, like hot Jupiter can not come closer to the star the way, Scientists have understood. Every planet is approaching near the star by slowly spiraling (like head of the gramophone over the record disc) **by the addition of mass bit by bit**; Jupiter of Solar-system too is approaching near the Sun. Jupiter would engulf asteroids of the asteroid-belt, thus it would come closer to the Mars. After engulfing the planet Mars, it would come near the Earth and after engulfing the Earth it would be closer to the Venus and so on. All hot Jupiters, which are now near the stars, were once far away. They have come closer to the star by the process as stated above. On approach near the star/Sun, hot Jupiter may or may not spin in the reverse that would depend upon the factors as stated for the reverse spin.

Note: Hot Jupiters can not come very close to the Sun/star as close planets like Venus could come.

Planets only near the Sun/star hold dense gassy shell which reverses the spin direction:

Planets which are very near the Sun/star, they have very high mean density. Because of high density their gravity too would be higher; bigger the size greater the gravity. So, such planets because of their very high gravity hold thick and dense gassy shell over their surface.

Venus too has high gravity because of its high mean density than the Earth (World has calculated mean density of Venus slightly lesser than the Earth, which is wrong as proved by the Challenger under relevant chapter). Because of high gravity Venus has thick gassy shell.

Conclusion:

Reverse spin by the planets is not by the understood centuries old speculation that Venus spins in the reverse because of an impact or collision in the past with a big object or planet and also reverse spin by the planets is not by the recent discovery understanding by the scientists of USA that a planet goes for the reverse spin by the gravitational perturbation. A planet spins in the reverse because of its high dense gassy shell and strong Sun/star materialistic-rays, which get bend on passing through denser gassy medium to give a reverse spin to the planet.



Query to the World (Scientists/Experts) and also to you for reply: reply (Query No. Planets/Reverse-spin/A) and (Query No. Planet/Reverse-spin/B).

Please reply the query after keeping in mind that the planets which have reverse spin, all are gassy and also are very close to the Sun/star.

Query No. Planets/Reverse-spin/A:

Why the planets (which spins in the reverse), which are near the Sun and have dense gassy shell tilt up side-down by the collision/impact and why no other planet has adopted this upside-down posture?

Query No. Planets/Reverse-spin/B:

If high gravity of a big planet pulls another planet close to the Sun to reverse its spin direction by the gravitational perturbation; how you can justify its (planet's) measured mean density at the new position as compared to position of the planet when it was far away?

PART-5: Miscellaneous some general informations about discovery claims.

World Challenged (July 2007) over wrong/false knowledge with the World about some basics of Astronomy and Light/Rays. (Resulting to re-write the said subjects).

by Ramesh Varma (India)

Challenger has filed an Indian Patent over the said discovery claim. Claim filed has been exhibited below:

Discovery Patent: Patent Application No. "2863/DEL/2010" by "Ramesh Chander Varma (INDIA)". Title: "Materialistic Universe and working mechanism of celestial-bodies based over materialistic-rays.

Above said information under title: **Edition-III Missile Hit Astronomy Information** over the discovery of spin, spin-speed and spin-direction by the planets is sufficient to prove World's knowledge wrong and false over the basics of Astronomy, States of the matter and Light/Rays. Challenger is confident that sooner or later the World by understanding the claimed discovery.

- Has to rewrite the subject: Astronomy
- Has to dismantle existing planetariums and to re-engineer as per the discovery.
- Has to rewrite the chapter over the subject Light/Rays (Physics).
- Has to rewrite also most other subjects of Science, which are directly or indirectly related to the said challenged subject.

AND

- Has to accept that Science-knowledge has gone upside-down in the 21st century.

Moral: It is better for the World to understand claimed/ discovery in shortest possible time. That would save the students and coming generations from being taught wrong the said subjects. This would also help the concerned-experts to understand Nature's phenomena(on) correctly than being trapped into the Theories, Speculations and Hypothesises. In addition to this all, quick action over said discovery to accept it would save trillions and trillions dollars being spent over research and exploration of space, which would go waste till the discovery remained un-accepted. Hope the World would wake-up from the deep mind-set trend at the notice of this **Edition-III Missile Hit Astronomy Information**.

Complete information over the query 'Why the speculated understanding with the World over the formation of planets and also about their spin (spin-speed, spin-direction and tilt to spin-axis) is wrong and false?' is in the answer over the information titled: **Edition-III Missile Hit Astronomy Information and Torpedo Hit Astronomy Information**. Other two **SCIENCE HITS** are '**Atom Bomb Hit Big-Bang Information**' and '**Tsunami Hit Light/Rays Information**'. Complete information over the claimed discovery is under title: **MATERIALISTIC UNIVERSE** over website.

Motive of the Information: Concerned in individual capacity is requested to reply to strengthen the claims/challenge for early acceptance of the discovery/ understanding by the World. But if the concerned Organization/ Agency/Department/University/Institution finds the information as incorrect then should give the reply that why the discovered fact is not correct. If correct, then what is the fate/status of the existing accepted speculation (Planets spin by the conservation of angular momentum)?



An Important truth to know and understand:

Dictionary words 'Theory, Speculation and Hypothesis':

The Subjects 'Astronomy, States of the matter and Light/Rays' are based over Theories, Speculations and Hypothesises; no other subject is of such a nature. The reason of it is the false base in understanding the basics of subjects 'Astronomy, States of the matter and Light/Rays'. Concerned are studying the said subjects from centuries by using the said dictionary words. They have understood the meaning of said words while studying the said subjects and have not learned the meaning from the dictionary. Concerned are understanding the meaning of the said words under mind-set trend as the facts but in reality meaning of the words 'Theory, Speculation and Hypothesis' is the tentative supposed information but not the fact. That is why till to date the subject Astronomy, Astrophysics, Cosmology, Astro-biology and Physics (States of the matter and Light/Rays) are full of Theories, Speculations and Hypothesises.

False base to understand wrong basics goes back to the day when foundation stone of Science was laid over the said subjects. Because of wrong and false understanding over properties of light/rays (states of the matter) centuries ago when foundation of the challenged subjects were laid an error was committed in understanding 'states of the matter' and light/rays. Because of this wrong/false understanding, the one subject i.e., 'Universe' was split wrongfully to two subjects 'Astronomy and Physics (states of the matter and light/rays). Because of the said splitting error, Experts of both the Subjects 'Astronomy and Physics' deviated from correct path to understand 'Universe' (Astronomy, states of the matter and light/rays) with their own different Scientific modes over the foundation of Theory, Speculation and Hypothesis. (Illustration under MATERIALISTIC UNIVERSE). From that day (foundation of science over the challenged subjects) to this date Experts of both the subjects are proceeding ahead in research (Science) by different understandings floating over the Theories, Speculations and Hypotheses.

Until the World understands and accepts Discovery Claims; the World (Experts) would not come out from the ditch, which is full of false and wrong information/ understandings over the said Challenged subjects. Because of Gang of snags and also of selfish interest to retain supremacy over the Right of knowledge some vested interested Key/Top position holder Experts/Nations are making fool of the World, Students and coming generations. They do not want to listen/read any finding/discovery which challenges/proves their knowledge wrong/false. Acceptance of claimed discovery would put a dent to their supremacy over the Right to have World's knowledge. Junior Experts and Junior Concerned are their blind followers; please wake up. Rulers of the Nations have nil knowledge over the Challenged subjects, so they are helpless, thus they (Rulers) are playing in their hands. Challenger fears that human would go extinct without even knowing correctly that what force spins Earth (our Mother-Earth).

Lesson from the past-era that why acceptance of contrary discoveries takes long time:

- **Astronomers took 2100 years to understand and accept that the Earth is not flat but round.**
- **The same/similar situation is now with the concerned to understand correctly states of the matter (light/rays) and basics of Astronomy. (OR even what spins the Earth).**

In the past-era Kings/Monarch were ruling the Nations. They all were under the influence and control of the Religions-Heads and Astrologers; Astrologers were the Astronomers. The whole World was with the information and acceptance that the Earth is flat with no ends and below the Earth unlimited civilizations were understood to be existing. All Religions have the base and faith over the acceptance of flat-Earth.

During 500 BC an observer claimed that the Earth is round and not flat. No one could believe and understand that the Earth is round in the past-era because of the existing Religions' faith over the flat-Earth. (Even presumed true Scientists can not understand the concept of round Earth in the absence of discovery of gravity). Newton discovered gravity during the seventeenth century to make believe the people/Experts that life, water (ocean) and objects/ things can stay/rest over a round Earth by the gravity pull.

It was in the seventeenth century that the Experts (Astronomers/Physicists) accepted fully that Earth is round and a planet like other already known five planets (Mercury, Venus, Mars, Jupiter and Saturn). The World took 2100 years to understand and accept that the Earth is not flat but round.

Now again, same/similar situation has arrived. All Rulers of the World are under the grip (influence) of some Top/Key Astronomers/ Physicists/Organizations/Nations. They do not want to listen/read any information/discovery contrary to their thought/belief/acceptance over which they have spent their whole life to keep/retain their vested position at the top. They do not want to lose their prestige/reputation by accepting that what they already understood/taught that all was wrong and false. Concerned! Please wake up to know and understand that what is correct so that students and coming generations should not be taught wrong because of selfish interest of some Top/Key Experts (Called Experts by virtue of their academic qualification but in fact they are not so).

World took 2100 years to accept that the Earth is not flat but round from the first observation made over its shape, whereas the Challenger has just (July 2007) declared about his claim/discovery. If the concerned are taking time to understand and to accept the discovered truth; it's their bad-luck that they would leave the Earth

without knowing correctly that what actually is spinning the Earth (their Mother-Earth over which they had lived and enjoyed life). To know about Mother-Earth is more important than to know about your faith-God.

What is required from you, Sir?

Answer of the following queries:

General Query: How would you justify accepted speculation that the planets spin by the conservation of angular momentum? (When the planets are subject to the following actions for their spins.)

- Molten core of the planet spins faster than the surface resulting to spin the planet. (This fact discovered by the Experts proves accepted said speculation 'That planet spins by the conservation of angular momentum' as null and void or wrong and false).
- Molten core of the planet 'Mercury' cause retardation to spin-speeds of the planet. Retardation is so great that planet can not keep on spinning from the spin got millions/billions year ago at their birth, unless the planet is subject to get torque force continuously. (This fact proves accepted speculation as null and void or wrong and false).
- Molten core and dense gassy-shell of the planet 'Venus' causes retardation to spin-speeds of the planet. Retardation is so great that extremely slow spinning planet 'Venus' can not keep on spinning from the spin got millions/billions year ago at their birth, unless the planet is subject to get torque force continuously. (This fact proves accepted speculation as null and void or wrong and false).
- Molten cores of the planets which generate their own energy (materialistic-rays) besides getting energy from the Sun result to spin the planet faster than the planets which only radiate energy. This fact confirms that spin or spin-speed of the planet is governed by the materialistic-rays and related to the quantum of energy (materialistic-rays) released. (This fact too proves accepted speculation as null and void or wrong and false).

Query/Reply No. General spin/Planets/A: Being true Expert (Astronomers/Astrophysicists/Physicists), give confirmation that planet spin by the energy (materialistic-rays) as understood and claimed by the Challenger/Discoverer and accepted speculation that planets spin by the conservation angular momentum is wrong and false.

OR

Query/Reply No. General spin/Planets/B: Justify your accepted speculation that the planets spin by the conservation of angular momentum (though they are subject to retardation as stated and also when the planets are subject to getting spin by above said action/force).

Reply queries:

Part-1

- Query No. Venus/spin/A
- Query No. Venus/spin/B
- Query No. Mercury/spin/A
- Query No. Mercury/spin/B
- Query No. Earth/spin/A
- Query No. Earth/spin/B

Part-3

- Query No. Venus/Density/A
- Query No. Venus/Density/B

Part-4

- Query No. Planets/Reverse-spin/A
- Query No. Planets/Reverse-spin/B

Part-5

- Query No. Planets/General-spin/A
- Query No. Planets/General-spin/B

Postal Address:

Mr. Ramesh Varma
852, Sector-8,
Panchkula-134109 (Haryana)
INDIA

Website: www.newtonugeam.com

E-mail: ramesh_varma@newtonugeam.com
info@newtonugeam.com