


Proved wrong Big Bang theory

by just proving **wrong** the 'speculated shape' of Big Bang mass through

Atom Bomb Hit Big Bang Information(SI-3):

By the Challenger: Ramesh Varma (India).



Visible Universe has the beginning by the **explosion** (not expansion) of a rotating extremely oblate shaped **Big Dense Mass Core of Universe formed from the sub-particles of White-matter**; which is a fact but Universe has not begun by the speculated Big Bang theory i.e., by the fast **expansion** (not explosion) of highly hot and dense mass of the shape and volume equivalent to a **speck/pea/coin/egg** because said mass cannot adopt any of the speculated shape.

Speculation by the World over Big Bang:

Our Universe has been evolved by the Big Bang i.e., by the fast expansion (not explosion but expansion similar to speed of explosion) from a highly hot and dense mass of volume and shape equivalent to a speck/pea/coin/egg.

Challenger: Explanation and facts:

Physicists/Astronomers have never stated the shape of speculated Big Bang mass as tiny ball or micro-sphere or any spherical object though any extremely hot object of any size however small it may be, it can not adopt shape other than a sphere. Further any dense mass of the speculated density thus by having its great gravity even under any presumed cold condition/temperature **of any small size** can not adopt any other shape other than a sphere.

Any spherical object on its expansion (not explosion) by any rate of expansion can not adopt any other shape other than a sphere but visible Universe is not of the spherical shape.

Conclusion by the Challenger:

- Big Bang mass of the shape (speck/pea/ coin/egg) as speculated can not exist as proved thus Big Bang theory is wrong.
- If now the Physicists rectify their speculation that mass of the Big Bang was a tiny ball or a micro-ball of the shape 'sphere'; any spherical object on expansion can not adopt any other shape other than a sphere but whereas existing visible

Universe is not spherical. This proves that existing Universe is not the result of **any expansion** of the mass however small or big it may be.

- Extremely small volume of the mass as speculated can not develop to extremely oblate or disc shape even if it is presumed spinning at any faster rate thus our Universe is not the out come of the **expansion** of the smallest volume mass.
- Above stated facts confirm and prove that Big Bang speculation theory is a white lie accepted by the blind follower Physicists/Astronomers.
- All the stated above facts discard Big Bang theory of expansion of the dense mass but confirm and suggest that existing Universe has been formed from a hot spinning dense mass which has adopted extremely oblate shape because of its spin. Existing Universe is the out come of the explosion (not by the expansion) of the said hot dense spinning mass as stated in brief over here and explained under the relevant chapter. Expansion of the existing Universe and going of the galaxies apart is because of the reason explained in brief over here but explanation is under the relevant chapter.

Please Note:

- Prior to reading **Atom Bomb Hit Big Bang Information**, must read **Missile Hit Astronomy Information** to understand proved fact that planets do no spin by the conservation of angular momentum. This **one page Missile Hit Astronomy Information** is sufficient to **puncture the brain** of the concerned to **flush out wrong and false stored speculations** over the subject Astronomy and Light/rays (Physics).
- It is in the interest of the concerned that he/she should also read 4-5 pages **Torpedo Hit Astronomy Information** first to get his/her brain burst to flood out most big wrong understandings/speculations over the subject Astronomy and Light/Rays from the brain.
- Must also read **Tsunami Hit Light/Rays Information** of just 4 pages to let escape from the brain stored false and wrong knowledge about the subject Light/Rays (Or about the matter/mass which is being lost by the trillions and trillions of Stars/Suns of a galaxy in the trillions and trillions galaxies of the Universe from the speculated 14 billions years i.e., the period of Big Bang). This lost but existing mass in the form of light/rays particles and Stars/Sun wind particles in the Universe is of brain-bursting figure, which is in trillions and trillions and trillions..... of tons. This lost but existing mass makes the concerned understand that the lost mass was/is not merely energy but was/is a state of the matter (white-matter), which under specific conditions acted/acts as energy. The concerned would also understand that by ignoring mass of white-matter in the space, they were/are searching falsely Dark-matter, like a mirage matter.

Repeat of Missile Hit Astronomy Information:

This **Missile Hit Astronomy Information** is to **puncture the brains** of the concerned to flush-out wrong and false knowledge that planets spin by the conservation of angular momentum.

Why do planets Mercury and Venus spin very slowly ?

(Mercury, Venus and Earth complete one rotation around their axis in 59 earth-days, 243 earth-days and 1 day respectively).

Discoverer and the Challenger:

Sketch of the planet Mercury or Venus as viewed from its pole:
Note: Venus spins in reverse direction than as shown over the sketch.

Retardation to spin-speed of the planet by the friction of stationary disc formed of densest molten mass among less denser mass in the molten core.

Disc; which always faces the Sun. Disc of densest molten mass/elements of shape similar to convex-concave lens.

Disc acts like brake shoe-pad; planet's inner side acts like vehicle's inner wheel drum and pull of gravity by the Sun acts like pull by the brake wire of the vehicle to cause retardation thus stop.

Slow spin-speed of the Mercury and Venus is because of continuous retardation to their spin-speeds given from the friction (viscosity-friction) by the disc formed of densest molten mass/elements in the molten core among less dense mass. This dense-mass molten disc does not spin with the planet (which are very near to the Sun) but always faces the Sun because of great gravity pull by the Sun as Sun is very close to these planets. Friction (viscosity-friction) by the molten dense mass disc is with the inner semi-molten/semi-solid mass of the planet and also at the other side of the disc with the remaining molten mass of its core (the remaining molten mass of the core too spins).

Mercury has the highest density masses as compared to the Venus and whereas Venus has more dense masses in its core than the Earth. Molten-cores of the planets Mercury and Venus have mixture of different density elements/masses. **If the Molten mass of the core was of only one element, however dense it may be, no disc/pocket of the dense mass would have formed to cause friction thus retardation to the spin of the planets.** Detailed illustration over this fact/ phenomenon is over the pages titled: "**Torpedo Hit Astronomy Information**". But complete illustration over the discovery/claims is over the pages titled: "**MATERIALISTIC UNIVERSE**".

Knowledge with the World:

What the World has speculated (knows) over the continuous spin and spin-speed by the planets?

World speculated and accepted (blindly) that the planets spin by the conservation of angular momentum, which they got at their formation from the spinning cloud from which they have been formed. World further speculated that the space is empty (by wrongly understanding the properties of light/rays), thus World understands that the space is frictionless. So the planets, once they got the spin would keep on spinning and spinning with same speed without any external or internal power.

Conclusion by the Challenger:

Flash information over only one phenomenon i.e., materialistic rays spin the planets:

Materialistic Rays on emerging from spinning body do not travel straight but adopt curved path. Materialistic curved rays of the Sun are spinning the Planets by creating thrust difference on half side which is facing the Sun. Rays from the planets too spin it.

If the planets were not getting continuous power to spin by the materialistic Sun-rays and also by their own rays as discovered and understood by the Challenger, no planet would be spinning now and all other celestial bodies would also have stopped their spin sooner or later by the friction of said **disc** and **space**. Friction thus retardation formed by the disc of dense-molten-mass over the spin-speed of the planets Mercury and Venus is the proof. They, both the planets would have stopped from their spin millions/billions years ago but the fact is that the planets would not have initiated the spin had there been no power to spin by the materialistic rays.

Further, spin-speed of the Venus is much slower than the Mercury. Extremely slow spin-speed of the Venus is because of an additional factor, other than the retardation to its spin-speed by the said disc. Venus has dense atmosphere, 90 times denser than of the Earth. Materialistic Sun-rays which spin the Venus bend towards the normal on entering into denser medium thus angle of their strike over the Venus' surface changes. Angle of strike by the Sun-rays changes so much that Venus gets reverse spin direction. Because of these reasons, Venus spins very slowly. Reverse spin direction of the Venus is not by the hit of any big object as the World understands. Explanation over this fact/phenomenon by the dense atmosphere is under the relevant chapter of the discovery.

(Sketch showing reverse spin to the Venus by the bended materialistic Sun rays).

Atom Bomb Hit Big Bang Information to prove Big Bang theory wrong and false is over the next pages.

Illustration Under Atom Bomb Hit Big Bang Information has been given in five parts:

- Part-1:** What has the World speculated over the Big Bang?
- Part-2:** Why is the speculated Big Bang theory wrong?
- Part-3:** How and what has actually formed visible Universe?
- Part-4:** Attempt is being made by the Physicists to support speculated Big Bang by some understandings/speculations/theories, like Cosmology, Particle Physics, Quantum theories etc. (Explanation to follow).
- Part-5:** Conclusion

PART-1:

What has the World speculated over the Big Bang?

From Internet: April 2010

Big Bang Theory:

The Big Bang theory is an effort to explain what happened at the very beginning of our universe. Discoveries in astronomy and physics have shown beyond a reasonable doubt that our universe did in fact have a beginning. Prior to that moment there was nothing; during and after that moment there was something: our universe. The big bang theory is an effort to explain what happened during and after that moment.

According to the standard theory, our universe sprang into existence as "singularity" around 13.7 billion years ago. What is a "singularity" and where does it come from? Well, to be honest, we don't know for sure. Singularities are zones which defy our current understanding of physics. They are thought to exist at the core of "black holes." Black holes are areas of intense gravitational pressure. The pressure is thought to be so intense that finite matter is actually squished into infinite density (a mathematical concept which truly boggles the mind). These zones of infinite density are called "singularities." Our universe is thought to have begun as an infinitesimally small, infinitely hot, infinitely dense, something - a singularity. Where did it come from? We don't know. Why did it appear? We don't know.

After its initial appearance, it apparently inflated (the "Big Bang"), expanded and cooled, going from very, very small and very, very hot, to the size and temperature of our current universe. It continues to expand and cool to this day and we are inside of it: incredible creatures living on a unique planet, circling a beautiful star clustered together with several hundred billion other stars in a galaxy soaring through the cosmos, all of which is inside of an expanding universe that began as an infinitesimal singularity which appeared out of nowhere for reasons unknown. This is the Big Bang theory.



PART-2:

Why is the speculated Big Bang theory wrong?

Most Experts are of the opinion that Universe has the beginning by the fast expansion (not explosion but expansion similar to speed of explosion) from a tiny dense mass (compacted mass of the entire Universe) which started about 14 billions years ago. Master minded Astronomers/Physicists who advocate that Universe has the beginning with the expansion of Big Bang mass were/are not stating correctly the shape of the speculated tiny mass on which expansion existing Universe has been formed. They are doing this intentionally to avoid rejection of Big Bang theory/speculation at first stage or they are under an error by the mind visualization and mind computing system; Judgment of this observed fact has been left by the Challenger over the concerned to judge after going through the following explanation.

Explanation: PART-2 (A):

Some Experts have stated the shape and volume of the Big Bang mass equivalent to a speck and some have stated equivalent to a pea, some have used the word cosmic-egg and some stated it like a coin and some preferred to remain silent over the shape. Below are some parts taken from the Internet and newspapers, which exhibit observed and stated shapes of the speculated Big Bang.

From Newspaper The Tribune 2010

Scientists closer to demystifying Big Bang

- European research centre CERN records high-energy particle collisions.
- Experiment will recreate post-Big Bang conditions.
- Giant step for mankind in understanding Universe.

Scientists smashed sub-atomic particles into each other with record energy today, aiming to recreate conditions just after the Big Bang that gave rise to the universe 13.7 billion years ago.

The experiment at the European Centre for Nuclear Research (CERN) drew applause from the 80 scientists in the tracking room at the sprawling research complex on the French-Swiss border.

"It just shows what we can do in pushing knowledge forward on where we came from, how the early universe evolved," CERN Director-General Rolf Heuer said.

The collisions, the high point so far of the 10 billion Swiss franc (\$9.4 billion) experiment, which will continue for years, marked a significant step forward for physics and could be seen as a giant leap for mankind.....

What is Big Bang? **a tiny speck** **a coin**

Recreating a "Big Bang", which most scientists believe is the only explanation of an expanding universe, ought to show how stars and planets came together out of the primeval chaos that followed. Its essential feature is the emergence of the universe from **a tiny speck** about the size of **a coin** but in a state of extremely high temperature and density.

British scientist Fred Hoyle coined the name "Big Bang" in 1949. According to the Big-Bang model, the universe expanded rapidly from a highly compressed primordial state, which resulted in a significant decrease in density and temperature.

FROM NEWSPAPER, YEAR-2005

The Big Bang Theory

OUR solar system occupies a minuscule part of the galaxy we call the Milky Way. There are millions of such galaxies.

In 1929 the American astronomer, Edwin Hubble, proved that the galaxies are moving apart from one another at tremendous speeds.

Scientists had known this at least a decade before Hubble proved it. In 1922 a Russian mathematician, Alexander Friedmann, had pointed out that if the universe was expanding because the galaxies were moving apart, the galaxies must have been closer to each other a hundred years earlier, still closer a thousand years earlier, still closer a million years earlier, and at one time they must have all been part of one great mass.

In 1927, a Belgian astronomer named Georges Lemaitre who was unaware of Friedmann's work came to the same conclusion as the Russian. **He called the original mass from which all the galaxies took birth, the cosmic egg.** He said the cosmic egg had exploded, flinging all its matter out, and the fragments (galaxies) were still moving apart because of the force of that explosion.

The theory was popularised in the 1930s and 1940s by the Russian - born American scientist George Gamov. He gave the primeval explosion the name 'Big Bang' and the theory came to be known as the Big Bang Theory.

The Big Bang theory does not explain how the cosmic egg and therefore the universe came into being. It only offers an explanation for the present state of the universe.

cosmic egg

FROM NEWSPAPER, YEAR-1998

Birth of universe

LONDON: The universe may have started as no bigger than a pea before the big bang which created the universe 12 billion years ago, according to a new theory from British scientist Stephen Hawking. Hawking, the wheelchair mathematician and author of the best-selling "a brief history of time", believes the pea like universe only existed for a fraction of a second before the big bang.

a pea

Why is the shape of Big Bang mass specifically and intentionally been compared with a speck or pea or coin or with a cosmic-egg by shirking away to speculate tiny ball?

OR

The Experts have not given a deep thought over the shape of speculated dense mass by ignoring the factor 'gravity'?

Astronomers/Physicists have noticed that existing Universe forms a shape of some what flat-disc with small budge at its centre, so a speck i.e., a small dot on expansion would form a Universe similar to existing shape of the Universe. On similar grounds shape of very small objects like pea/coin/cosmic-egg for the Big Bang mass has been speculated by some of the Experts.

Speculated Big Bang mass was extremely hot and also had extreme density. Any hot molten mass can not adopt any other shape other than a sphere. Further, dense mass of the Big Bang even in cold condition can not adopt any other shape other than a sphere as explained below.

A stone or a particle can adopt any shape but a planet formed of the stones and dust particles would adopt only spherical shape. Planet adopts spherical shape not by its huge size but by the increase in its gravity. So if a small dot or a mass equivalent to the volume of a speck or pea or coin or egg has mass so great (equivalent to the total mass of Universe), obviously it would have great gravity too. This great gravity would transform any smallest supposed/speculated mass to a perfect sphere. Perfect sphere on its expansion can not form the Universe of the shape similar to a disc but would expand in sphere shape only.

Extremely small volume of the mass as speculated can not develop to extremely oblate or disc shape even if it is presumed spinning at any faster rate thus our Universe is not the out come of the **expansion** of the smallest volume mass. Speculated Big Bang mass was extremely dense and extremely small in volume (size), so by its extreme gravity and extremely low centrifugal force, mass would retain spherical shape on its spin. (Extremely low centrifugal force would be because of extremely less size i.e., negligible distance of the mass from its centre).

Universe can only have extreme oblate shape, similar to existing shape of the Universe, if the densest and hot mass of the massive core was surrounded by the mass; mass densest at the surface of the said core and rarest possible at the outer edge of the **spinning** Universe. This is what the Challenger has understood and claimed. Universe with two forms of the matter; visible i.e., the densest and hot massive central part (core) and non-visible i.e., White-matter or sub-particles of white-matter over shell of the said core developed spin by the congregation of matter towards the centre. Every shell of the Universe mass spun with variable spin-speed with gradual decrease to spin-speed at every shell from the centre of the core to outer most part of the Universe till rarest possible sub-matter at extreme end remained at almost stationary state; spun by the said mass of the Universe developed oblate shape. The said extremely oblate shape of the Universe on explosion of its densest and hot massive core has resulted to trigger the formation of visible Universe.

Conclusion:

Because of the said reason that Big Bang theory should not get a set back just at its entry point, every concerned who advocated/advocates the speculation of Big Bang tried to conceal stated fact from the World thus have never used the word tiny densest ball for the Big Bang mass. Among concerned most would never have given any deep thought over the said **proved shape** as, they were/are just blind followers or the clappers.

The said only one proof about the shape of the mass of Big Bang is sufficient to kill the issue that Universe has not begun by the expansion of mass as speculated by the Big Bang theory. Challenger has given some more illustrations and proofs over the Internet which also confirms that there was no Big Bang. Some facts in brief have been stated below:-

PART-2(B):

Some other facts which also prove that speculated of Big Bang theory is wrong and false:

- There is an upper and a lower limit in the mass in which a Sun/Star can form. Beyond upper limit in mass no Star/Sun can be formed and below the lower limit of the mass Star/Sun ceases to act as Star/Sun. (This fact has been illustrated and proved under chapter 'Galaxy').
- There is an upper and a lower limit in the mass that can form a black hole. Beyond this upper limit in mass, black hole would explode to form galaxy and below the lower limit in the mass black-hole can not be formed. (This fact has been illustrated and proved under chapter 'Galaxy' and 'Black-hole').
- Above stated plus and minus limits in the mass for the formation/destruction of Stars/Suns and Black-holes vary in the Universe because of the white-matter pressure. White matter pressure at the centre of the Universe is great as compared to its outer edge. The difference between maximum and minimum limit of the mass to form a Star/Sun or a Black-hole keeps on shrinking with the increase in the distance from the centre of the Universe because of reducing white-matter pressure. At far off from the centre of Universe where pressure of white-matter reduces too much, a stage reaches when this difference reduces to almost nil, this makes the chances to form a Star/Sun or black-hole nil. In the absence of these two celestial bodies (Stars and black-holes) no other visible matter can form or exist, except existence of finest form of the white-matter or its sub-particles.

This factor suggests that **visible** Universe is limited. Beyond visible Universe, matter in the form of finest sub-particles of white-matter exists. As the space is infinite, so there may be more Universes, but if more Universes exist, Universes must be separated by unbelievable distances.

- Entire mass of the Universe can not be compacted to one solid mass of any dimension, because there is a maximum and minimum limit of the mass to form a star or a black-hole. This maximum/minimum limit varies as per the pressure and presence of the white-matter which surrounds the mass. This law also applies over the mass of the speculated Big Bang, thus Big Bang of the speculated mass never existed.
- Experts have speculated the mass of Big Bang surrounded by absolute nothing. Speculated size of the Big Bang, even of the shape of a sphere can not exist because matter (solid, liquid and gas) can not exist if it is surrounded by absolute nothing. Matter in the said three forms exists in the Universe because understood there states of the matter are surrounded by the white-matter, which the Physicists/Astronomers have falsely understood as Dark-matter. Explanation with proofs over this fact is over the Internet under title **MATERIALISTIC UNIVERSE**.



PART-3:

How and what has actually formed visible Universe?

In brief:

The matter (trillions and trillions and trillions.....tons per second) which our Sun and trillions and trillions stars of the Universe are losing from the moment (As per the Experts from 14 billion years) is the white-matter. This white-matter is filling the Universe (The Experts have falsely understood this white-matter as Dark-matter and now for them Dark-matter is like a mirage-matter). Explanation is under the relevant chapters over the Internet under title: MATERIALISTIC UNIVERSE.

Visible matter which we see and notice today has been formed of white-matter. White-matter too has been formed of some finest form of the matter, called sub-particles of the white-matter, sub-particles of the white-matter which were existing independently prior to formation of visible Universe, would not be existing in free and independent form now any where, except at the outer-most edge of the Universe.

Prior to formation of visible Universe, Universe was occupied by the finest form of the sub-particles of white-matter. From sub particles of white-matter, Universe could have formed only by the two phenomenons. Both the phenomenons would be having **Big Dense Mass Core**. One phenomenon can be similar to the formation of the Sun and its family (or solar system) and second phenomenon can be similar to a Black-hole, which on its explosion results to form galaxies.

Phenomenon No.1 (Solar System like):

The finest form of the matter i.e., sub-particles of white-matter congregated towards the centre, similar to formation of the Sun from the solar-nebula. On being congregated white-matter sub-particles towards the centre, the whole Universe sub-matter got the spin thus adopted an oblate shape similar to existing Universe. (Every shell of the Universe matter spun with different rate, slowest at the outer ends and the fastest at the centre). Density of this swirling/rotating Universe white-matter became thinnest at the outer ends and densest at the centre. Core became hot like formation of the Sun from solar nebula but at much higher density and temperature than the Sun. (But core not exactly the same dense and hot at the centre as speculated under Big Bang theory but some what similar to it at much lower density and temperature than speculated Big Bang). On reaching at maximum limit in density and temperature as per the pressure over it (core) of the white matter the dense and hot core of the rotating Universe exploded to form different visible objects. These dense, big and hot objects developed to numbers of ever biggest black-holes to suck white-matter. Newly formed massive black-holes around the centre of Universe but at great distances from the centre further on explosion formed galaxies. This process would have resulted for the beginning of the visible Universe. Formation of the visible mass (objects) reduced the white-matter pressure at the outer end of the Universe, thus visible formations started moving away from the centre resulting to make us understand that Universe is expanding. White-matter pressure at every shell of the Universe from its centre is low, so visible objects or the organizations like galaxies keep on expanding on their move towards the outer edge. (This phenomenon is like a balloon filled with lighter-gas which keeps on expanding on its move away from us towards the sky by the reducing pressure of the atmospheric gases).

Move of the visible matter in the white-matter zone having critical low pressure would results for the disintegration of the visible matter. Thus our Universe again would be formed of the finest white matter or of its sub-particles. The Universe is under the make and break thus oscillating.

Phenomenon No.2 (Black Hole like):

The finest form of the matter i.e., sub particles of white-matter congregated towards the centre by the factor 'Gravity'. Compactness towards the centre developed spin of the entire mass like a black-hole. Mass of the black hole developed to its greatest quantum because, white-matter sub-particles which were surrounding the massive black-hole were forming a great pressure over it. This factor resulted to form biggest black-hole with the greatest mass and of the greatest density. A stage reached when density or pressure of sub-

particles of white-matter reduced at critical low level at the end (outer edge) of the Universe. Sub-particles of white-matter started their reversed journey to keep the balance of pressure. At critical low pressure over black-hole mass and high pressure inside the black hole-matter at its **Big Dense Mass Core** resulted to explode the core. Explosion of the black-hole mass formed the galaxies. Galaxies started expanding by the drift of white-matter and also by the already set outward movement of left over sub-particles of white-matter towards the edge of the Universe. Pressure difference of space medium is also resulting for the expansion of visible Universe with accelerated speed. This phenomenon would have resulted to form our existing Universe (More explanation is over the relevant chapter).

PART-4:

Attempt is being made by the Physicists to support speculated Big Bang theory by some understandings/speculations/theories, like Cosmology, particle Physics, Quantum theories etc.

Physicists might have created some other speculations and theories too, other than theories like, Quantum theory, Particle-Physics, Cosmology, Dark-matter etc., which Challenger needs not to explain because these theories too have false base as proved under **MATERIALISTIC UNIVERSE** and **Tsunami Hit Light/Rays Information**.

Working mechanism of solar/Planetary system based over discovered unique property of light/rays has proved that Light/rays are materialistic. Lost mass by the Suns/Stars in the form of rays and wind particles proves that space is filled with white-matter. This proved fact has shaken the foundations of above said all theories. Theories which have their own base over the false foundations; how can they support another theory?

PART-5:

Conclusion:

Illustration under **Atom Bomb Hit Big Bang Information** is sufficient to prove that Big Bang speculation is wrong and false. Other informations under **Missile Hit Astronomy Information**, **Torpedo Hit Astronomy Information** and **Tsunami Hit Light/Rays Information** leave no change for the Big Bang theory to exist.

Motive of the Information:

Concerned in individual capacity is requested to reply/comment/suggest to strengthen the claims/challenge for early acceptance of the discovery/understanding by the World. But if the concerned Organization/Agency/Department/University/Institution finds the information as incorrect then should give the reply that why the discovered fact* is not correct. If correct, then what is the fate/status of the existing accepted speculation#?

***Fact:** Densest mass formed from the entire mass of the Universe of any smallest shape/size can not adopt any other shape, other than a sphere. A sphere on expansion can not adopt any other shape other than a sphere unless internal and external forces have acted over its mass to deform its shape whereas existing visible Universe is not spherical.

#Speculations: Universe of the shape of a disc is the out come by the expansion of a highly and unbelievable dense mass of the size and shape of a speck/pea/egg/coin.

Note:

● Above said one fact by the Challenger over the speculated shape of Big Bang is sufficient to prove Big Bang theory as wrong and false; however there are some other facts too to prove Big Bang theory as wrong and false, which have been stated in brief under **Atom Bomb Hit Big Bang Information** but illustration is under **MATERIALISTIC UNIVERSE**.

- High energy particle collision at the European Center of Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva may or may not yield any positive result but no result by the experiment in relation to speculated Big Bang theory can be achieved as theory Big Bang is over the false base, which has been proved wrong as illustrated over this leaflet information: **ATOM BOMB HIT BIG BANG INFORMATION.**
-

Prime cause of wrong knowledge with the World:

World would remain trapped for long period under wrong and false knowledge over the said subjects unless subject ASTRONOMY (its basics) is made compulsory subject for every Physicist to understand unique discovered properties of light rays. And the subject PHYSICS (Basics or unique discovered properties of light/rays) is made compulsory for the Astronomers to understand true working mechanism of solar/planetary system.

Attention!

Science students/ex-students (Matriculate to M. Sc. or any highest academic qualified): **Get reward# (Not prize but reward) Rs. 50 Lakhs (Five million) or hundred thousand US Dollars by proving the Challenger: Ramesh Varma WRONG** over the challenged subject 'Astronomy' & some basics of Physics. (Working mechanism of solar/planetary system based over unique property of light/rays).

NOTE: No need to peep through telescope and microscope for Astronomy and Light/Rays respectively. Rather those who have never peeped through telescope are the most competent to understand basics of Astronomy because of their mind's concentration over the facts than those, who by peeping through telescope have lost their mind's concentration in the vast and endless space and Physicists by seeing/peeping through microscope have narrowed their mind's purview of thoughts thus knowledge to link various facts.

Postal address:

Ramesh Varma

852, Sector-8,

Panchkula-134109 (Haryana) INDIA

Website: www.newtonugeam.com

E-mail: ramesh_varma@newtonugeam.com
info@newtonugeam.com
